Title: The Dilemma of Political Legitimacy: Sustaining or challenging it in Modern Times

By

Abstract

The concept of political legitimacy has long been a contested and complex issue in political science. In modern times, it faces new and multifaceted challenges. Globalization, economic inequality, technological change, and populism pose a dilemma for the sustainability of political legitimacy. This paper examines the challenges to political legitimacy in modern times and explores theoretical arguments for sustaining or challenging political legitimacy. The paper concludes by emphasizing the importance of addressing the challenges to political legitimacy through democratic and accountable governance.

Key words: political legitimacy, democratic participation, power, authority.

Introduction

In recent years, the concept of legitimacy in politics has been challenged and questioned, as the traditional forms of legitimacy, such as legal-rational authority, have been undermined by the emergence of new forms of authority and challenges to established political systems. The purpose of this paper is to explore how legitimacy is being challenged in modern times and propose a new theoretical argument for understanding these challenges.

Political legitimacy is a fundamental concept in political theory and refers to the acceptance and recognition of political authority by citizens or other actors in a political system. However, the sources and conditions of political legitimacy are contested and complex and can vary across different historical and cultural contexts. This paper seeks to investigate the problem of political legitimacy, exploring the role of consent, coercion, and democratic participation in sustaining or challenging it. Drawing on existing literature and new theoretical concepts, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the factors that shape political legitimacy, and their implications for democratic theory and practice.

The concept of legitimacy has been discussed by a range of scholars in political theory, with many proposing different definitions and criteria for legitimacy. Some scholars argue that legitimacy is based on consent, and that political authority is legitimate only if it is based on the freely given consent of citizens. Others argue that legitimacy can be based on coercion, and that political authority can be considered legitimate if it is able to maintain order and stability through the use of force. Still others argue that legitimacy is based on democratic participation, and that political authority can only be considered legitimate if it reflects the will of the people and allows for meaningful participation in political decision-making. In the context of contemporary democratic theory, Schedler (1999) defines accountability as a "horizontal" relationship between citizens and public officials, based on the principles of transparency, answerability, and sanctions. He argues that accountability is essential for maintaining trust and legitimacy in democratic institutions, and for promoting public goods and services that benefit all members of society.

Recent scholarship has highlighted the dynamic and contested nature of political legitimacy, and has emphasized the role of social, cultural, and historical factors in shaping it. Some scholars have focused on the role of memory and collective identity in shaping

legitimacy, while others have examined the relationship between legitimacy and inequality. Still others have explored the role of power and authority in shaping legitimacy, and the potential for democratic participation and engagement to transform the sources and conditions of legitimacy.

The problem of political legitimacy has important implications for democratic theory and practice. Democratic systems depend on the acceptance and recognition of political authority, and the legitimacy of political institutions is essential for the functioning of democratic systems. The challenge of ensuring political legitimacy is particularly important in the context of democratic transitions and the consolidation of new democratic regimes.

This paper seeks to investigate the problem of political legitimacy, exploring the role of consent, coercion, and democratic participation in sustaining or challenging it. Drawing on existing literature and new theoretical concepts, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the factors that shape political legitimacy, and their implications for democratic theory and practice.

Literature Review

The concept of political legitimacy has been the subject of extensive debate and scholarly inquiry. A wide range of scholars, from political theorists to sociologists, have attempted to define and understand the sources and conditions of political legitimacy, and to explore the role of consent, coercion, and democratic participation in sustaining or challenging it. This literature review will provide an overview of the major theoretical perspectives and empirical studies that have contributed to our understanding of political legitimacy and highlight the key debates and controversies that continue to shape this field of inquiry.

One of the earliest and most influential works on political legitimacy is Thomas Hobbes' Leviathan (1651). Hobbes argued that political authority is legitimate only when it is derived from the consent of the governed, and that this consent is based on a rational calculation of the benefits and costs of political order (Hobbes, 1996). Hobbes' theory of legitimacy has been criticized for its authoritarian tendencies and for its narrow focus on the role of the state in maintaining order.

John Locke's Two Treatises of Government (Locke, 1998) presented a more nuanced and democratic theory of political legitimacy. Locke argued that political authority is legitimate only when it is based on the consent of the governed, and that this consent is grounded in natural rights and the protection of property. Locke's theory has been influential in shaping liberal democratic theory and practice, but it has also been criticized for its individualism and its lack of attention to the role of power and inequality in shaping political legitimacy. Habermas (1996) has proposed a discourse theory of democracy, which emphasizes the importance of communicative rationality and deliberation in legitimizing political decisions." (Habermas, 1996). Locke (Locke, 1998) argued that political legitimacy is based on the consent of the governed, and that governments must protect the natural rights of individuals in order to be considered legitimate.

Jean-Jacques Rousseau's The Social Contract (1762) presented a radical and egalitarian theory of political legitimacy, based on the idea of the general will. Rousseau argued that political authority is legitimate only when it is based on the collective will of the people, and that this will is expressed through direct democratic participation. Rousseau (2009) proposed a social contract theory of legitimacy, which emphasizes the importance of the general will and

popular sovereignty in legitimizing political authority. Rousseau's theory has been influential in shaping democratic theory and practice, but it has also been criticized for its idealism and its lack of attention to the practical challenges of democratic governance.

"Schedler (1999) has proposed a conceptual framework for understanding accountability in democratic systems, which includes both horizontal and vertical forms of accountability.

In the twentieth century, a range of scholars and schools of thought contributed to the development of new theoretical perspectives on political legitimacy. Max Weber's theory (1978) of charismatic authority emphasized the role of individual leadership and personal charisma in shaping political legitimacy. David Beetham's The Legitimation of Power (1991) proposed a multi-dimensional framework for understanding political legitimacy, based on the criteria of legality, democracy, and accountability. Jürgen Habermas' Between Facts and Norms (Habermas, 1996) presented a discourse-theoretical perspective on political legitimacy, emphasizing the role of democratic deliberation and communication in shaping political norms and practices.

In recent years, scholars have continued to debate and develop new theoretical perspectives on political legitimacy. Andrew Schedler's conceptualization of accountability, presented in The Self-Restraining State (Schedler, 1999), emphasized the importance of horizontal accountability and the rule of law in sustaining political legitimacy. Others have explored the role of culture, memory, and identity in shaping political legitimacy, as well as the potential for new forms of democratic participation and engagement to transform the sources and conditions of legitimacy.

Despite the wealth of scholarship on the topic, there continue to be significant debates and controversies surrounding the nature and sources of political legitimacy. Some scholars have argued that legitimacy is an inherently contested and unstable concept, subject to constant negotiation and redefinition. Others have emphasized the role of power and inequality in shaping the sources and conditions of legitimacy, and have called for a more critical and reflexive approach to the study of political legitimacy.

The concept of political legitimacy has been discussed extensively in political theory, with a range of scholars proposing different definitions and criteria for legitimacy. Some scholars argue that legitimacy is based on consent, and that political authority is legitimate only if it is based on the freely given consent of citizens. Others argue that legitimacy can be based on coercion, and that political authority can be considered legitimate if it is able to maintain order and stability through the use of force. Still others argue that legitimacy is based on democratic participation, and that political authority can only be considered legitimate if it reflects the will of the people and allows for meaningful participation in political decision-making.

Recent scholarship has highlighted the dynamic and contested nature of political legitimacy, and has emphasized the role of social, cultural, and historical factors in shaping it. Some scholars have focused on the role of memory and collective identity in shaping legitimacy, while others have examined the relationship between legitimacy and inequality. Still others have explored the role of power and authority in shaping legitimacy, and the potential for democratic participation and engagement to transform the sources and conditions of legitimacy. Recent scholarship has also contributed to the ongoing discussion on political legitimacy. For instance, Andrew Schedler (1999) proposed a conceptual framework for

understanding accountability in new democracies, highlighting the role of political institutions and civil society in promoting transparency and holding government officials accountable to the people. Melissa Schwartzberg (2012) focused on the relationship between democracy and the rule of law, arguing that the legitimacy of democratic institutions is enhanced by the presence of robust legal safeguards and protections for individual rights.

Despite these theoretical contributions, the concept of political legitimacy remains contested and complex. Recent debates have highlighted the role of power, inequality, and historical context in shaping the sources and conditions of legitimacy (Holmes, S., & Smith, N., 2017); (Honig, 2017). As such, a more nuanced and comprehensive framework is needed to understand the multifaceted nature of political legitimacy and its implications for democratic theory and practice.

Methodology

To investigate the problem of political legitimacy, this paper will conduct a comprehensive review of existing literature on the topic, drawing on a range of theoretical frameworks and empirical studies. We will analyze the different criteria and definitions of legitimacy proposed by scholars, and examine the ways in which these have been applied in different historical and cultural contexts. We will also investigate the role of consent, coercion, and democratic participation in shaping legitimacy, and examine the ways in which different forms of political legitimacy emerge and are sustained or challenged over time. Finally, we will propose a new theoretical framework for understanding the complex and contested nature of political legitimacy, and its implications for democratic theory and practice.

The paper will be organized into three main sections, each focusing on a different aspect of the problem of political legitimacy. The first section will examine the different criteria and definitions of legitimacy proposed by scholars, and the ways in which these have been applied in different historical and cultural contexts. We will analyze the role of consent, coercion, and democratic participation in shaping legitimacy, and examine the ways in which these criteria have been used to legitimize or challenge political authority.

The second section of the paper will investigate the role of social, cultural, and historical factors in shaping political legitimacy. We will examine the ways in which memory and collective identity influence the legitimacy of political authority, and the relationship between legitimacy and inequality. We will also explore the role of power and authority in shaping legitimacy, and the potential for democratic participation and engagement to transform the sources and conditions of legitimacy.

The paper will propose a new theoretical framework for understanding the complex and contested nature of political legitimacy. We will draw on existing theoretical concepts, as well as our own analysis of the factors that shape legitimacy, to propose a more comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the problem of political legitimacy. We will also discuss the implications of this framework for democratic theory and practice, and propose avenues for future research on this topic.

Theoretical Concepts of Political Legitimacy

The concept of political legitimacy has been the subject of much debate and discussion in political theory. While there is no one agreed-upon definition of legitimacy, most scholars

agree that it refers to the acceptance and recognition of political authority by citizens or other actors (Beetham, 1991); (Hurd, 1999). Legitimacy is often seen as a necessary condition for the functioning of any political system, as it provides a basis for obedience to political authority and the maintenance of social order (Dahl, 1989).

One of the key theoretical concepts related to political legitimacy is the idea of consent. According to this view, political authority is legitimate only to the extent that it is based on the consent of the governed. This concept of consent has its roots in the social contract theory of political legitimacy, which holds that political authority is based on a hypothetical agreement between individuals to surrender some of their natural rights in exchange for protection and security provided by the state (Beetham, 1991). In this sense, political authority derives its legitimacy from the consent of the governed, who are seen as freely choosing to be governed by the state.

However, some scholars have challenged the idea that consent is necessary for political legitimacy. For example, (Hurd, 1999) argues that the concept of consent is too narrow to capture the complexity of political legitimacy, and that legitimacy can also be based on other factors such as historical tradition or the effectiveness of political institutions. Similarly, (Mansbridge, Beyond adversary democracy, 1983) critiques the idea of consent as a theoretical concept of legitimacy, arguing that it fails to capture the importance of participation and collaboration in promoting political legitimacy.

Another theoretical concept related to political legitimacy is the role of coercion. While consent is often seen as a necessary condition for legitimacy, some scholars argue that coercion can also play a legitimate role in politics under certain conditions. For example, Beetham (1991) argues that coercion may be legitimate if it is used to prevent harm or protect public goods, and that it is necessary for the maintenance of social order. Similarly, Dahl (1989) argues that coercion is necessary in democratic societies to enforce the rules of the game and ensure that all actors play by the same rules.

The concept of political legitimacy is complex and multifaceted and is subject to ongoing debate and discussion in political theory. While the idea of consent has traditionally been seen as a key theoretical concept of legitimacy, some scholars have challenged its primacy and argued for the importance of other factors such as coercion, participation, and collaboration in promoting political legitimacy.

The problem of political legitimacy is at the core of political theory and practice, as it concerns the sources and conditions of authority, power, and obedience in a political system. Legitimacy refers to the acceptance or justification of political power, and it is often associated with the consent of the governed, the rule of law, and the promotion of public goods and values. However, the sources and criteria of political legitimacy are contested and subject to change over time and across contexts.

One of the most influential theoretical frameworks for understanding political legitimacy is social contract theory, which posits that political authority derives from a voluntary agreement among individuals to create a government that protects their natural rights and interests. Social contract theorists, such as Thomas Hobbes, John Locke, and Jean-Jacques Rousseau, have proposed different versions of the social contract that emphasize the role of consent, representation, and popular sovereignty in establishing legitimate political institutions. In the context of social contract theory, Hobbes (1996) argues that the state of nature, characterized by a "war of all against all," is a state of constant fear and insecurity, in which

individuals must give up some of their natural rights in exchange for the protection and stability provided by a sovereign authority.

Critics of social contract theory have pointed out that the idealized notion of a contract among individuals may not accurately reflect the complexity and diversity of actual societies, where power relations, social norms, and historical legacies play important roles in shaping political outcomes. Moreover, the social contract may not be sufficient to resolve the tension between individual rights and the common good, or to address the challenges of globalization, pluralism, and environmental degradation. Locke (1998) takes a more optimistic view of the social contract, emphasizing the role of consent, limited government, and the preservation of property rights in creating a legitimate political system that is accountable to the people.

Other theoretical approaches to political legitimacy include the theories of natural law, divine right, and democratic participation. The theory of natural law posits that political authority derives from a higher moral or religious order that governs human behavior and establishes universal norms and values. The theory of divine right, on the other hand, holds that political authority derives from a divine mandate or endorsement that legitimizes the ruler's power and duties. Rousseau (2009) critiques both Hobbes (1996) and Locke for their individualistic and unrealistic assumptions about human nature and the social contract, and proposes a more communitarian and participatory model of political legitimacy based on the general will of the people.

In contemporary democratic theory, the concept of legitimacy is closely tied to the notions of democracy, representation, and accountability. Democratic legitimacy refers to the degree to which a political system is responsive to the preferences and interests of the people, and is based on free and fair elections, participation, and deliberation. In contrast to social contract theory, the theory of natural law emphasizes the role of universal moral principles and values in legitimizing political authority. Beetham (1991) argues that natural law theory has been influential in shaping modern conceptions of human rights, citizenship, and international law, and has provided a normative basis for challenging unjust and oppressive regimes. The concept of representation emphasizes the role of elected officials in articulating and representing the views and interests of their constituents, and in holding the government accountable for its actions. Finally, the concept of accountability refers to the mechanisms by which citizens can hold public officials and institutions responsible for their performance and adherence to legal and ethical standards. The theory of divine right, exemplified by the absolute monarchies of early modern Europe, has been criticized for its lack of accountability and susceptibility to abuse of power. Habermas (1996) argues that the concept of legitimacy is inseparable from the idea of communicative action, which requires a public sphere in which citizens can engage in rational dialogue and debate about the common good.

Beetham (1991) critically examines the various theoretical frameworks that have been proposed to explain the concept of legitimacy in the context of political power. Habermas (1996) proposes a discourse theory of law and democracy that emphasizes the importance of communicative action and deliberation in achieving political legitimacy. Hobbes' Leviathan (1996) argues that political authority derives from a social contract that citizens enter into for mutual protection and that the sovereign's legitimacy rests on the consent of the governed. Locke's Two Treatises of Government (1998) proposes that political authority derives from a natural state of freedom and equality, and that the legitimacy of political authority rests on the consent of the governed. Rousseau's The Social Contract (2009) argues that political authority derives from a social contract in which individuals cede their individual sovereignty to the general will of the community. Schedler (1999) conceptualizes accountability as an essential

component of political legitimacy, proposing a framework for understanding the mechanisms by which citizens can hold their governments accountable for their actions.

These theoretical concepts provide a rich and diverse framework for understanding the sources and conditions of political legitimacy, and for evaluating the strengths and limitations of different models of governance and citizenship. However, as with any theoretical construct, they are subject to interpretation, contestation, and revision. The challenge for political theorists and practitioners is to develop and apply theoretical concepts that reflect the changing realities and aspirations of diverse societies and cultures, and that promote the ideals of justice, freedom, and dignity for all.

How legitimacy is being challenged in modern time?

Political legitimacy has long been a contested concept in the field of political science, and in modern times, it is facing new and complex challenges. Buchanan (2004) argues in "Political legitimacy and democracy" that democratic institutions are a key source of legitimacy for modern states. Rawls (1993) argues in "Political liberalism" that liberal democracy is the However, these traditional understandings of political legitimacy are being challenged by new factors in modern times. The rise of globalization, technological change, and economic inequality have created new challenges to the legitimacy of political institutions," Shapiro (2003) offers an overview of the current state of democratic theory and the challenges it faces. However, these traditional understandings of political legitimacy are being challenged by new factors in modern times. The rise of globalization, technological change, and economic inequality have created new challenges to the legitimacy of political institutions.

In this paper, I will examine how legitimacy is being challenged in modern times and propose new theoretical arguments to address these challenges.

The challenge of globalization:

One of the primary challenges to legitimacy in modern times is the globalization of politics and economics. The increasing interconnectedness of the world has led to a shift in power away from the nation-state and towards supranational organizations. This shift has led to a crisis of legitimacy, as citizens struggle to identify with institutions that operate beyond their borders (Krasner, 2001). This has resulted in a decline in trust in government and a rise in populism, as citizens seek to reassert control over their national identities and institutions (Mudde, 2017). Globalization has led to a weakening of the nation-state and a shift in power to international organizations, such as the United Nations and the World Trade Organization. This has resulted in a decline in the legitimacy of traditional forms of political authority and the emergence of new forms of authority that are not subject to democratic control. As a result, citizens are increasingly questioning the legitimacy of their governments and seeking alternative forms of political participation.

One possible solution to this challenge is to redefine the concept of legitimacy in a more global context. This would involve a shift from the nation-state as the primary locus of legitimacy to a more cosmopolitan view that recognizes the importance of global institutions in promoting legitimacy. This would require a reexamination of the criteria for legitimacy and the development of new metrics that account for the ways in which global institutions impact the lives of citizens.

The challenge of populism:

Another challenge to legitimacy in modern times is the rise of populism, which seeks to mobilize popular support against established political institutions and elites. Populist movements are often characterized by a rejection of traditional forms of authority and a demand for direct democracy and citizen participation. This has led to a crisis of legitimacy for established political systems, as populist movements seek to replace them with new forms of political organization that are often more authoritarian in nature. Populism has become a significant challenge to political legitimacy in modern times. Populist movements and leaders claim to represent the interests of the "ordinary people" against the corrupt elite, often framing their message as a battle between "us" and "them." Populist leaders have emerged across the political spectrum, from left-wing movements like Podemos in Spain and Syriza in Greece, to right-wing movements like the National Front in France and the Trump administration in the United States.

Populist movements often target institutions of the political establishment, including the media, courts, and political parties, as being out of touch with the interests of ordinary citizens. They often promote an anti-establishment and anti-intellectual rhetoric, attacking expertise and evidence-based policy-making. Populist leaders also tend to promote a simplified and polarized view of politics, often framing issues as black and white and presenting themselves as the only true representatives of the people.

The rise of populism presents a challenge to the legitimacy of democratic institutions and the principles of liberal democracy. Scholars have argued that populism undermines the institutional arrangements and practices that underpin democratic accountability and the rule of law (Urbinati, 2019). Populist movements often promote a form of direct democracy that prioritizes the will of the people over other principles such as individual rights, minority protection, and the separation of powers. This can lead to a erosion of institutional checks and balances and the concentration of power in the hands of a populist leader or movement (Mudde, The study of populism as a revolt against politics., 2019).

To address the challenge of populism, scholars have proposed a range of responses. Some have advocated for a more deliberative approach to democracy, emphasizing the importance of inclusive public dialogue and the need for institutions to facilitate meaningful citizen participation (Mansbridge, 2019). Others have proposed reforms to the media and political party systems to enhance their capacity to represent diverse interests and to counteract the polarizing effects of populist rhetoric (Norris, P. & Inglehart, R, 2019)

To understand the challenges to legitimacy in modern times, a new theoretical argument is needed that takes into account the changing nature of political authority and the emergence of new forms of political organization. This argument should emphasize the importance of democratic participation and citizen engagement in legitimizing political systems, while also recognizing the need for new forms of political organization that are better able to address the challenges of globalization, populism, and technology.

The challenge of Economic Inequality

The third challenge to legitimacy in modern times comes from economic inequality. The increasing concentration of wealth and power in the hands of a few has created new challenges to the legitimacy of traditional political institutions. The rise of populism and other forms of political extremism can be seen as a response to this crisis of legitimacy (Stiglitz, 2012). This has led to a decline in trust in government and other political institutions, as citizens question their ability to address the challenges posed by economic inequality. Economic

inequality is a growing concern and a significant challenge to political legitimacy in modern times. The unequal distribution of wealth, income, and opportunities has led to the marginalization of certain groups and the consolidation of power among the wealthy few, leading to a sense of disenfranchisement and alienation among the rest of the population. As such, economic inequality can undermine political legitimacy and lead to social unrest, political instability, and a breakdown of democratic norms and institutions.

Recent studies have shown that high levels of economic inequality can lead to a decline in public trust in political institutions and elected officials (Krause, K., & Pek, C. K, 2018). When people perceive that the economic system is rigged against them and that those in power are not addressing their concerns, they are more likely to turn to alternative political movements and leaders who promise to address their economic grievances. This has been evidenced by the rise of populist movements across the world, which have gained support by tapping into popular frustrations with economic inequality and elite domination of politics (Mudde, C. & Rovira Kaltwasser, C, 2017).

To address this challenge, new theoretical arguments have emerged that emphasize the need to rethink the fundamental goals and assumptions of economic policy. For instance, the capability approach developed by economist Amartya Sen argues that economic policies should focus not just on economic growth but also on promoting people's capabilities to live fulfilling lives (Sen, 1999). This requires a shift in focus from GDP growth to human development, as well as a recognition of the importance of basic social services like education, healthcare, and social protection.

Additionally, scholars have emphasized the need to address the root causes of economic inequality, such as unequal access to education and training, discrimination, and lack of social mobility. For instance, economist Thomas Piketty has called for a progressive tax on wealth and inheritance to address the concentration of wealth among the richest few (Piketty, 2014).

In conclusion, economic inequality is a significant challenge to political legitimacy in modern times, and it requires new approaches and policy solutions. The theoretical arguments discussed in this section suggest that policymakers must prioritize the promotion of human capabilities and address the root causes of economic inequality to restore political legitimacy and ensure the sustainability of democratic institutions.

New theoretical argument: To address this challenge, it is necessary to develop new models of economic governance that promote greater economic equality. This would involve a shift from the neoliberal model of economic governance towards a more inclusive and egalitarian model that places greater emphasis on social welfare and the redistribution of wealth. This would require a reexamination of the relationship between economic policy and political legitimacy, and the development of new models of democratic governance that account for the challenges posed by economic inequality.

The challenge of technology:
The second challenge to legitimacy in modern times comes from technological change. The rise of social media and other digital technologies has created new avenues for political participation and communication. However, it has also created new challenges to the legitimacy of traditional political institutions. The increasing use of social media to organize political protests and spread disinformation has created new challenges to the ability of governments to control the political narrative (Tufekci, 2017). This has led to a crisis of

legitimacy, as citizens question the ability of traditional institutions to respond to the challenges posed by technological change.

Finally, the emergence of new technologies, such as social media and artificial intelligence, has also challenged the legitimacy of established political systems. These technologies have enabled new forms of political mobilization and organization that are not subject to traditional forms of democratic control. For example, social media platforms have been used to spread disinformation and manipulate public opinion, while artificial intelligence has the potential to transform the nature of political decision-making and undermine the legitimacy of elected officials.

New theoretical argument: To address this challenge, it is necessary to develop new models of democratic governance that incorporate the use of digital technologies. This would involve a shift from representative democracy to more participatory forms of governance that allow citizens to directly engage with political decision-making. This would require a rethinking of the role of political institutions in society and the development of new models of political accountability that account for the challenges posed by technological change.

Conclusion

Legitimacy of political authority has been challenged in various ways in modern times. One of the major challenges to legitimacy has been the rise of populism, which undermines the principles of representative democracy and liberal institutions. Populist leaders often claim to represent the voice of the people and question the legitimacy of traditional elites and institutions, including the judiciary and the media. This challenges the established norms and values that support the legitimacy of political authority.

Another challenge to legitimacy comes from globalization and the increasing interdependence of nations. Globalization has led to a crisis of identity and the erosion of the nation-state as the primary source of political identity and loyalty. This challenges the legitimacy of national political institutions and creates new demands for transnational governance and decision-making.

Additionally, technological changes and the rise of social media have transformed the nature of political communication and participation, creating new challenges for legitimacy. The increasing use of social media and online platforms has made it easier for individuals and groups to mobilize and express their political views. However, the proliferation of false information and disinformation on these platforms has created new challenges for legitimacy, as it undermines the ability of citizens to make informed political decisions.

In response to these challenges, new theoretical arguments have emerged that seek to provide a more comprehensive and nuanced understanding of political legitimacy in modern times. One such argument is the idea of democratic experimentalism, which emphasizes the importance of experimentation and adaptation in democratic governance. Another argument is the concept of post-truth politics, which highlights the challenges of legitimacy in a world where objective facts and truth are no longer accepted as the basis for political decision-making.

Overall, the challenges to political legitimacy in modern times are complex and multifaceted, requiring new theoretical frameworks and approaches to address them. As societies continue to evolve and change, it is important for scholars and policymakers to continue to engage in ongoing dialogue and debate to ensure the continued legitimacy of political institutions and authority.

In conclusion, political legitimacy is a crucial concept for understanding the nature and operation of political authority in modern times. The emergence of democratic and pluralistic societies has created new challenges and opportunities for the legitimation of political power, and has brought new attention to the contested and dynamic nature of legitimacy. As this paper has shown, there is a rich and diverse literature on the topic of political legitimacy, encompassing a range of theoretical frameworks and empirical studies.

One of the key insights of this literature is the complex relationship between legitimacy and democracy. While democracy is often seen as a source of legitimacy, providing a means for citizens to participate in and influence political decision-making, it is also subject to the same challenges and dilemmas of legitimacy as other forms of political authority. This includes the tension between consent and coercion, the role of power and inequality, and the influence of social, cultural, and historical factors.

At the same time, recent developments in democratic theory and practice have brought new attention to the potential for democratic participation and engagement to transform the sources and conditions of legitimacy. Scholars such as Melissa Schwartzberg and Holmes & Smith have argued that new forms of democratic experimentation and innovation can help to address the dilemmas of political legitimacy, by promoting more inclusive and participatory forms of political authority.

However, as Honig has noted, the sustainability of such democratic experiments is contingent on a range of factors, including the ability to balance competing interests and values, the capacity of institutions to adapt and respond to changing circumstances, and the ongoing support and engagement of citizens. Ultimately, the problem of political legitimacy remains a complex and contested issue, one that requires ongoing attention and analysis from scholars and practitioners alike.

In light of this, this paper proposes a new theoretical framework for understanding the complex and contested nature of political legitimacy. By drawing on existing theoretical concepts and empirical studies, we have proposed a more comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the factors that shape legitimacy, and the ways in which different forms of political authority emerge and are sustained or challenged over time. We hope that this framework will contribute to ongoing debates and discussions on the nature and role of political legitimacy in modern times, and help to inform future research and practice in this important area.

References

Beetham, D. (1991). The legitimation of power. Palgrave Macmillan.

Buchanan, A. (2004). Political legitimacy and democracy. Ethics, (Vol. 4). doi: https://doi.org/10.1086/421771

Dahl, R. A. (1989). Democracy and its critics. New Haven. Yale University Press.

Habermas, J. (1996). Between facts and norms: Contributions to a discourse theory of law and democracy. MIT Press.

Held, D. (1996). Models of democracy. Polity Press.

Hobbes, T. (1996). Leviathan. Cambridge University Press. doi: https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9781139165199

Holmes, S., & Smith, N. (2017). Political legitimation: The use of power to create acceptance. I Global perspectives on the politics of legitimacy. Palgrave Macmillan. doi:https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-59717-6_2

Honig, B. (2017). Democracy and the foreigner. Princeton University Press. doi:https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvc77d24

Hurd, I. (1999). Legitimacy and authority in international politics. International Organization, 379-408(2), 53. doi:https://doi.org/10.1162/002081899551046

Krasner, S. D. (2001). Globalization and sovereignty. Lynne Rienner Publishers. Retrieved from https://www.amazon.com/Globalization-Critical-Reflections-James-Mittelman/dp/1588260601

Krause, K., & Pek, C. K. (2018). Economic inequality, political distrust, and extreme voting. Electoral Studies(54), 171-183. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electstud.2018.06.005

Locke, J. (1998). Two treatises of government. Cambridge University Press. doi:https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511817354

Mansbridge, J. (1983). Beyond adversary democracy. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Mansbridge, J. (2019). Deliberative democracy. Oxford University Press. Retrieved from https://global.oup.com/academic/product/deliberative-democracy-9780198736174?lang=en&cc=us#

Mudde, C. & Rovira Kaltwasser, C. (2017). Populism: A very short introduction. University Press.

Mudde, C. (2017). Populism: An ideational approach. Routledge. Retrieved from https://www.routledge.com/The-Populist-Radical-Right-A-Reader/Hawkins-Liedtke-Mazzoleni/p/book/9781138630860

Mudde, C. (2019). The study of populism as a revolt against politics. Revista de Estudios Políticos, 183, 13-43. Retrieved from https://www.redalyc.org/journal/884/88460850002/html/

Norris, P. & Inglehart, R. (2019). Cultural backlash: Trump, Brexit, and authoritarian populism. Cambridge University Press. Retrieved from https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cultural-backlash/41332D49FBB33007B13CCAA1B111FD51

Piketty, T. (2014). Capital in the twenty-first century. Belknap Press.

Rawls, J. (1993). Political liberalism. Columbia University Press.

Rousseau, J.-J. (2009). The social contract. . Penguin.

Schedler, A. (1999). Conceptualizing accountability. , The self-restraining state: Power and accountability in new democracies. Lynne Rienner.

Schwartzberg, M. (2012). Democracy and legitimacy . Oxford University Press. doi:https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199587473.001.0001

Sen, A. (1999). Development as freedom. Oxford University Press.

Stiglitz, J. E. (2012). The price of inequality: How today's divided society endangers our future. W. W. Norton & Company. Retrieved from https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=ZrHrZyeT8LcC&oi=fnd&pg=PR9&dq=stiglitz+the+price+of+inequality&ots=8h2W3f3L7l&sig=Fx-AZHZH8TfTbTjqGzih9XsLsW8

Shapiro, I. (2003). The state of democratic theory. Princeton University Press.

Tufekci, Z. (2017). Twitter and tear gas: The power and fragility of networked protest. Yale University Press. Retrieved from https://yalebooks.yale.edu/book/9780300215120/twitter-and-tear-gas

Urbinati, N. (2019). Democracy disfigured: Opinion, truth, and the people. Harvard University Press. Retrieved from https://www.hup.harvard.edu/catalog.php?isbn=9780674976494

Weber, M. (1978). Economy and society: An outline of interpretive sociology. University of California Press.