Predicting Stock Market Trends Using Machine Learning and Deep Learning Algorithms Via Continuous and Binary Data a Comparative Analysis

P Anupama [1],V Narshima[2],R Nehanjali[3],K Vasavi[4],M Tanshiq[5]

[1,2,3,4,5]Department of Computer Science and Engineering

[1,2,3,4,5]Sree Dattha Institute of Engineering and Science, Sheriguda, Telangana

ABSTRACT

The nature of stock market movement has always been ambiguous for investors because of various influential factors. This study aims to significantly reduce the risk of trend prediction with machine learning and deep learning algorithms. Four stock market groups, namely diversified financials, petroleum, non-metallic minerals and basic metals from Tehran stock exchange, are chosen for experimental evaluations. This study compares nine machine learning models (Decision Tree, Random Forest, Adaptive Boosting (Adaboost), eXtreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost), Support Vector Classifier (SVC), Naïve Bayes, K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), Logistic Regression and Artificial Neural Network (ANN)) and two powerful deep learning methods (Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) and Long short-term memory (LSTM). Ten technical indicators from ten years of historical data are our input values, and two ways are supposed for employing them. Firstly, calculating the indicators by stock trading values as continues data, and secondly converting indicators to binary data before using. Each prediction model is evaluated by three metrics based on the input ways. The evaluation results indicate that for the continues data, RNN and LSTM outperform other prediction models with a considerable difference. Also, results show that in the binary data evaluation, those deep learning methods are the best; however, the difference becomes less because of the noticeable improvement of models' performance in the second way.

Index Terms: Stock Market Prediction,Machine Learning,Deep Learning,Tehran Stock Exchange,Decision Tree,Random Forest,Technical Indicators,Continuous Data,Binary Data,Trend Prediction,Evaluation Metrics.

1.INTRODUCTION :

The task of stock prediction has always been a challenging problem for statistics experts and finance. The main reason behind this prediction is buying stocks that are likely to

increase in price and then selling stocks that are probably to fall. Generally, there are two ways for stock market prediction. Fundamental analysis is one of them and relies on a company's technique and fundamental information like market position, expenses and annual growth rates. The second one is the technical analysis method, which concentrates on previous stock prices and values. This analysis uses historical charts and patterns to predict future prices.

Stock markets were normally predicted by financial experts in the past time. However, data scientists have started solving prediction problems with the progress of learning techniques. Also, computer scientists have begun using machine learning methods to improve the performance of prediction models and enhance the accuracy of predictions. Employing deep learning was the next phase in improving prediction models with better performance [3&4]. Stock market prediction is full of challenges, and data scientists usually confront some problems when they try to develop a predictive model.

Complexity and nonlinearity are two main challenges caused by the instability of stock market and the correlation between investment psychology and market behavior.

It is clear that there are always unpredictable factors such as the public image of companies or political situation of countries, which affect stock markets trend. Therefore, if the data gained from stock values are efficiently preprocessed and suitable algorithms are employed, the trend of stock values and index can be predicted. In stock market prediction systems, machine learning and deep learning approaches can help investors and traders through their decisions. These methods intend to automatically recognize and learn patterns among big amounts of information. The algorithms can be effectively self-learning, and can tackle the predicting task of price fluctuations in order to improve trading strategies [6]. Since recent years, many methods have been improved to predict stock market trends. The implementation of a model combination with Genetic Algorithms (GA), Artificial Neural Networks and Hidden Markov Model (HMM) was proposed by Hassan et al. [7]; the purpose was transforming the daily stock prices to independent sets of values as input to HMM. The predictability of financial trend with SVM model by evaluating the weekly trend of NIKKEI 225 index was investigated by Huang et al. [8]. A comparison between SVM, Linear Discriminant Analysis, Elman Backpropagation Neural Networks and Quadratic Discriminant Analysis was their

goal. The results indicated that SVM was the best classifier method. New financial prediction algorithm based on SVM ensemble was proposed by Sun et al. [9]. The method for choosing SVM ensemble' s base classifiers from candidate ones was proposed by deeming both diversity analysis and individual performance. Final results showed that SVM ensemble was importantly better than individual SVM for classification. Ten data mining methods were employed by Ou et al. [10] to predict value trends of Hang index from Hong Kong stock market. The methods involved Tree based classification, K-nearest neighbor, Bayesian classification, SVM and neural network. Results indicated that the SVM outperformed other predictive models. The price fluctuation by a developed Legendre neural network was forecasted by Liu et al. [11] by assuming investors' positions and their decisions by analyzing the prior data on the stock values. They also examined a random function (time strength) in the forecasting model. Araújo et al. [12] proposed the morphological rank linear forecasting approach to compare its results with time-delay added evolutionary forecasting approach and multilayer perceptron networks.

From the above research background, it is clear that each of the algorithms can effectively solve stock prediction problems. However, it is vital to notice that there are specific limitations for each of them. The prediction results not only are affected by the representation of the input data but also depend on the prediction method. Moreover, using only prominent features and identifying them as input data instead of all features can noticeably develop the accuracy of the prediction models.

Employing tree-based ensemble methods and deep learning algorithms for predicting the stock and stock market trend is a recent research activity. In light of employing bagging and majority vote methods, Tsai et al. [13] used two different kinds of ensemble classifiers, such as heterogeneous and homogeneous methods. They also consider macroeconomic features and financial ratios from Taiwan stock market to examine the performance of models. The results demonstrated that with respect to the investment returns and prediction accuracy, ensemble classifiers were superior to single classifiers. Ballings et al. [14] compared the performance of AdaBoost, Random Forest and kernel factory versus single models involving SVM, KNN, Logistic Regression and ANN. They predict European company's prices for one-year ahead. The final results showed that Random Forest outperformed among all models. Basak et al.

[15] employed XGBoost and Random Forest methods for the classification problem to forecast the stock increase or decrease based on previous values. Results showed that the prediction performances have advanced for several companies in comparison with the existing ones. For examining macroeconomic indicators to accurately predict stock market for one-month ahead, Weng et al. [16] improved four ensemble models, boosting regressor, bagging regressor, neural network ensemble regressor and random forest regressor. Indeed, another aim was employing a hybrid way of LSTM to prove that the macroeconomic features are the most successful predictors for stock market.

Moving on using deep learning algorithms, Long et al. [17] examined a deep neural network model with public market data and the transaction records to evaluate stock price movement. The experimental results showed that bidirectional LSTM could predict the stock price for financial decisions, and the method acquired the best performance compared to other prediction models. Rekha et al. [18] employed CNN and RNN to make a comparison between two algorithms' results and actual results via stock market data. Pang et al. [19] tried to improve an advanced neural network method to get better stock market

predictions. They proposed LSTM with an embedded layer and LSTM with an automatic encoder to evaluate the stock market movement. The results showed that the LSTM with embedded layer outperformed and the models' accuracy for the Shanghai composite index is 57.2 and 56.9%, respectively. Kelotra and Pandey [20] used the deep convolutional LSTM model as a predictor to effectively examine stock market movements. The model was trained with Rider-based monarch butterfly optimization algorithm and they achieved a minimal MSE and RMSE of 7.2487 and 2.6923. Baek and Kim [21] proposed an approach for stock market index forecasting, which included a prediction LSTM module and an overfitting prevention LSTM module. The results confirmed that the proposed model had an excellent forecasting accuracy.

Compared to model without an overfitting prevention LSTM module. Chung and Shin [22] employed a hybrid approach of LSTM and GA to improve a novel stock market prediction model. The final results showed that the hybrid model of LSTM network and GA was superior in comparison with the benchmark model.

Overall, regarding the above literature, prior studies often concentrated on macroeconomic or technical features with

recent machine learning methods to detect stock index or values movement without considering appropriate preprocessing methods.

Iran's stock market has been highly popular recently because of arising growth of Tehran Price Index in the last decades, and one of the reasons is that most of the state-owned firms are being privatized under the general policies of article 44 in the Iranian constitution, and people are allowed to buy the shares of newly privatized firms under the specific circumstances. This market has some specific attributes in comparison with other country's stock markets, one of them is dealing price limitation of ±5% of opening price of the day for every indexes; this issue hinders the abnormal market fluctuation and scatter market shocks, political issues, etc. over specific time and could make the market smoother; however, the effect of fundamental parameters on this market is relatively high and the prediction task of future movements is not simple.

This study concentrates on the process of future trends prediction for stock market groups, which are crucial for investors. Despite significant development in Iran stock market in recent years, there has been not enough research on the stock price predictions and movements using novel machine learning methods.

In this paper, we concentrate on comparing prediction performance of nine machine learning models (Decision Tree, Random Forest, Adaboost, XGBoost, SVC, Naïve Bayes, KNN, Logistic Regression and ANN) and two deep learning methods (RNN and LSTM) to predict stock market movement. Ten technical indicators are employed as input values to our models. Our study includes two different approaches for inputs, continues data and binary data, to investigate the effect of preprocessing; the former uses stock trading data (open, close, high and low values) while the latter employs preprocessing step to convert continues data to binary one. Each technical indicator has its specific possibility of up or down movement based on market inherent properties. The performance of the mentioned models is compared for the both approaches with three classification metrics, and the best tuning parameter for each model (except Naïve Bayes and Logistic Regression) is reported. All experimental tests are done with ten years of historical data of four stock market groups (diversified financials, petroleum, non-metallic minerals and basic metals), which are completely crucial for investors, from Tehran stock exchange. We believe that this study is a new research paper that incorporates multiple machine learning and deep learning

methods to improve the prediction task of stock groups' trend and movement.

This paragraph is organized to show the structure of our paper. Section 2 defines our research data with some statistical data, and two approaches supposed for input values. Eleven prediction models, including nine machine learning and two deep learning algorithms, are introduced and discussed in Section 3. The final results of prediction are presented in Section 4 with analyzing, and Section 5 concludes our paper.

2.EXITING SYSTEM :

In this study, ten years of historical data of four stock market groups (diversified financials, petroleum, non-metallic minerals and basic metals) from November 2009 to November 2019 is employed, and all data is gained from www.tsetmc.com website. Figures 1-4 show the number of increase or decrease cases for each group during ten years.


FIGURE 1. The number of increasing and decreasing cases (trading days) in each year for the diversified financials group.

Figure.1

FIGURE 2. The number of increasing and decreasing cases (trading days) in each year for the petroleum group.

Figure.2

FIGURE 1. The number of increasing and decreasing cases (trading days) in each year for the diversified financials group.

Figure.3

FIGURE 4. The number of increasing and decreasing cases (trading days) in each year for the basic metals group

Figure.4

In the case of predicting stock market movement, there are several technical indicators and each of them has a specific ability to predict future trends of market; however, we choose ten technical indicators in this paper based on previous studies [23-25]. Table 1 (in Appendix section) shows technical indicators and their formulas, and Table 2 (in Appendix section) indicates summary statistics of the indicators of four

stock groups. The inputs for calculating indicators are open, close, high and low values in each trading day.

This paper involves two approaches for input information. continues data is supposed to be based on actual time series, and binary data is presented with a preprocessing step to convert continues data to binary one with respect to each indicator nature.

A. Continuous data :

In this method, input values to prediction models are computed from formulas in Table 1 for each technical indicator. The indicators are normalized in the range of (0, +1) before using to prevent overwhelming smaller values by larger ones. Figure 5 shows the process of stock trend prediction with continues data.

Figure 5. Predicting stock movement with continuous data

B. Binary data :

In this approach, a new step is added to convert continuous values of indicators to binary data based on each indicator's nature and property. Figure 6 indicates the process of stock trend prediction with binary data. Here, binary data is introduced by +1 as the sign of upward trend and -1 as the sign of downward trend.

FIGURE 6. Predicting stock movement with binary data

Figure.6

Details about the way of calculating indicators are presented here [25-27]:

SMA is calculated by the average of prices in a selected range, and this indicator can help to determine if a price will continue its trend. WMA gives us a weighted average of the last n values, where the weighting falls with each prior price.

• SMA and WMA: if current value is below the moving average then the trend is -1, and if current value is above the moving average then the trend is +1.

MOM calculates the speed of the rise or falls in stock prices and it is a very useful indicator of weakness or strength in evaluating prices.

• MOM: if the value of MOM is positive then the trend is +1, otherwise it is -1.

STCK is a momentum indicator over a particular period of time to compare a

certain closing price of a stock to its price range. The oscillator sensitivity to market trends can be reduced by modifying that time period or by a moving average of results. STCD measures the relative position of the closing prices in comparison with the amplitude of price oscillations in a certain period. This indicator is based on the assumption that as prices increase, the closing price tends towards the values which belong to the upper part of the area of price movements in the preceding period and when prices decrease, the opposite is correct. LWR is a type of momentum indicator which evaluates oversold and overbought levels. Sometimes LWR is used to find exit and entry times in the stock market. MACD is another type of momentum indicator which indicates the relationship between two moving averages of a share's price. Traders usually can use it to buy the stock when the MACD crosses above its signal line and sell the shares when the MACD crosses below the signal line. ADO is usually used to find out the flow of money into or out of stock. ADO line is normally employed by traders seeking to determine buying or selling time of stock or verify the strength of a trend.

• STCK, STCD, LWR, MACD and ADO: if the current value (time t) is more than the previous value (time t-1) then the trend is +1, otherwise it is - 1.

RSI is a momentum indicator that evaluates the magnitude of recent value changes to assess oversold or overbought conditions for stock prices. RSI is showed as an oscillator (a line graph which moves between two extremes) and moves between 0 to 100.

• RSI: its value is between 0 and 100. If the RSI value surpasses 70 then the trend is -1, and if the value goes below 30 then the trend is +1. For values between 30 and 70, if the current value (time t) is larger than the prior value (time t-1) then the trend is +1, otherwise it is -1.

CCI is employed as a momentum-based oscillator to determine when a stock price is reaching a condition of being oversold or overbought. CCI also measures the difference between the historical average price and the current price. The indicator determines the time of entry or exit for traders by providing trade signals.

• CCI: if values surpass 200 then the trend is -1 and if values go below -200 then the trend is +1. For values between -200 and 200, if the current value (time t) is larger than the prior value (time t-1) then the trend is +1, otherwise it is -1.

n this study, we use nine machine learning methods (Decision Tree, Random Forest, Adaboost, XGBoost, SVC, Naïve Bayes, KNN, Logistic Regression and ANN) and

two deep learning algorithms (RNN and LSTM).

A. Decision Tree :

Decision Tree is a popular supervised learning approach employed for both regression and classification problems. The purpose is to make a model which is able to predict a target value by learning easy decision rules formed from the data features. There are some advantages of using this method like being easy to interpret and understand or Able to work out problems with multi-outputs; in contrast, creating over-complex trees that results in overfitting is a common disadvantage. A schematic illustration of Decision Tree is shown in Figure 7

FIGURE 7. Schematic illustration of Decision tree

Figure.7

B. Random Forest:

Great number of decision trees make a random forest model. The method simply averages the prediction result of trees, which is called a forest. Also, this model has three random concepts,

randomly choosing training data when making trees, selecting some subsets of features when splitting nodes and considering only a subset of all features for splitting each node in each simple decision tree. During training data in a random forest, each tree learns from a random sample of the data points. A schematic illustration of Random forest is indicated in Figure 8.

FIGURE 8. Schematic illustration of Random forest

Figure.8

C.Adaboost :

Boosting methods are a group of algorithms which convert weak learners to a powerful learner. The method is an ensemble for improving the model predictions of any learning algorithm. The concept of boosting is to sequentially train weak learners in order to modify their past prediction. AdaBoost is a meta-estimator which starts by fitting a model on the main dataset before fitting additional copies of the model on the

similar dataset. During the process, samples' weights are adapted based on the current prediction error, so the subsequent model concentrates more on difficult items.

D.XGBoost :

XGBoost is an ensemble tree-based method, and the model applies the principle of boosting for weak learners. XGBoost was introduced for better speed and performance in comparison with other tree-bassed models. In-built crossvalidation ability, regularization for avoiding overfitting, efficient handling of missing data, catch awareness, tree pruning and parallelized tree building are common advantages of XGBoost method.

E. SVC :

Support Vector Machines (SVMs) are a set of supervised learning approaches that can be employed for classification and regression problems. The classifier version is named SVC. The method's purpose is finding a decision boundary between two classes with vectors. The boundary must be far from any point in the dataset, and support vectors are the sign of observation coordinates with a gap named margin. SVM is a boundary that best separates two classes with employing a line or hyperplane. The decision boundary is defined in Equation 1 where SVMs can map input vectors $x_i \in R^d$ into a high dimensional feature space $\Phi(x_i)$

$\in H$, and $\Phi(.)$ is mapped by a kernel function $K(x_i, x_j)$. Figure 9 shows the schematic illustration of SVM method.

$$f(x) = \text{sgn}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i . K(x, x_i) + b) \quad (1)$$

FIGURE 9. Schematic illustration of SVM

Figure.9

SVMs can perform a linear or non-linear classification efficiently, but for non-linear, they must use a kernel trick which map inputs to high-dimensional feature spaces. SVMs convert non-separable classes to separable ones by kernel functions such as linear, non-linear, sigmoid, radial basis function (RBF) and polynomial. The formula of kernel functions is shown in Equations 2-4 where γ is the constant of radial basis function and d is the degree of polynomial function. Indeed, there are two adjustable parameters in the sigmoid function, the slope α and the intercepted constant c.

SVMs are often effective in high dimensional spaces and cases where the

number of dimensions is greater than the number of samples, but to avoid over-fitting in selecting regularization term and kernel functions, the number of features should be much greater than the number of samples.

F. Naïve Bayes:

Naïve Bayes classifier is a member of probabilistic classifiers based on Bayes' theorem with strong independence assumptions between the features given the value of the class variable. This method is a set of supervised learning algorithms. The following relationship is stated in Equation 5 by Bayes' theorem where y is class variable, and x1 through xn are dependent feature vectors.

$$P(y|x_1,...,x_n) = \frac{P(y)\prod_{i=1}^{n}P(x_i|y)}{P(x_1,...,x_n)} \quad (5)$$

Naive Bayes classifier can be highly fast in comparison with more sophisticated algorithms. The separation of the class distributions means that each one can be independently evaluated as a one-dimensional distribution. This in turn helps for alleviating problems from the dimensionality curse.

G. KNN :

Two properties usually are suggested for KNN, lazy learning and non-parametric algorithm, because there is not any assumption for underlying data distribution by KNN. The method follows some steps to find targets: Dividing dataset into training and test data, selecting the value of K, determining which distance function should be used, choosing a sample from test data (as a new sample) and computing the distance to its n training samples, sorting distances gained and taking k-nearest data samples, and finally, assigning the test class to the sample on the majority vote of its k neighbors. Figure 10 shows the schematic illustration of KNN method.


FIGURE 10. Schematic illustration of KNN

Figure.10

H. Logistic Regression :

Logistic regression is used to assign observations to a separated set of classes as a classifier. The algorithm transforms its output to return a probability value with the logistic sigmoid function, and predicts the target by the concept of probability. Logistic Regression is similar to Linear Regression model, but the Logistic Regression employs

sigmoid function, instead of logistic one, with more complexity. The hypothesis behind logistic regression tries to limit the cost function between 0 and 1.

I .ANN :

ANNs are single or multi-layer neural nets which fully connected together. Figure 11 shows a sample of ANN with an input and output layer and also two hidden layers. In a layer, each node is connected to every other node in the next layer. By the rise in the number of hidden layers, it is possible to make the network deeper.


FIGURE 11. Schematic illustration of ANN

Figure.11

Figure 12 is indicated for each of the hidden or output nodes, while a node takes the weighted sum of the inputs, added to a bias value, and passes it through an activation function (usually a non-linear function). The result is the output of the node that becomes another node input for the next layer. The procedure moves from the input to the output, and the final output is determined by

doing this process for all nodes. Learning process of weights and biases associated with all nodes for training the neural network.

FIGURE 12. An illustration of relationship between inputs and output for ANN.

Figure.12

Equation 6 shows the relationship between nodes, weights and biases. The weighted sum of inputs for a layer passed through a non-linear activation function to another node in the next layer. It can be interpreted as a vector, where X1, X2 … and Xn are inputs, w1, w2, … and wn are weights respectively, n is the number of inputs for the final node, f is activation function and z is the output.

$$Z = f(x.w + b) = f(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i w_i + b) \qquad (6)$$

By calculating weights and biases, the training process is completed by some rules: initialize the weights and biases for all the nodes randomly, performing a forward pass by the current weights and biases,

calculating each node output, comparing the final output with the actual target, and modifying the weights/biases consequently by gradient descent with the backward pass, generally known as backpropagation algorithm.

J. RNN :

A very prominent version of neural networks is recognized as RNN which is extensively used in various processes. In a normal neural network, the input is processed through a number of layers and an output is made. It is proposed that two consecutive inputs are independent of each other. However, the situation is not correct in all processes. For example, for the prediction of stock market at a certain time, it is crucial to consider the previous observations.

RNN is named recurrent due to it does the same task for each item of a sequence when the output is related to the previous computed values. As another important point, RNN has a specific memory, which stores previous computed information for a long time. In theory, RNN can use information randomly for long sequences, but in real practices, there is a limitation to look back just a few steps. Figure 13 shows the architecture of RNN.

FIGURE 13. An illustration of recurrent network

Figure.13

3.IMPLEMENTATION:

MODULES:

To implement this project we have designed following modules

1.Upload Stock Dataset:

button to load dataset.

2.Preprocess Dataset:

button to get below screen.

3.Run Continuous Prediction:

To train all algorithms with above dataset.

4.Run Binary Prediction:

button to convert dataset into binary values and then perform prediction.

5. Comparison Graph:

button to get graph between all algorithms.

6.View Comparison Table:

button to get below screen

4.SCREENSHOTS :

To run project double click on 'run.bat' file to get below screen

Figure.14 Home Screen

In above screen click on 'Upload Stock Dataset' button to load dataset

Figure.15 Upload Stock Dataset

In above screen selecting and uploading "petrol" dataset and then click on 'Open' button to get below screen

Figure.16 Dataset Details

In above screen dataset loaded and dataset contains some missing values so to remove missing values and to split dataset into train and test part so click on 'Preprocess Dataset' button to get below screen

Figure.17 Preprocess Dataset

In above screen dataset contains total 2797 records and application using 2797 records for training and 30 records for testing and now train and test data is ready and now click on 'Run Continuous Prediction' button to train all algorithms with above dataset

Figure.18 Continuous Prediction

In above screen you can see we have created ANN and LSTM model and after building model will get predicted stock price for 30 test days


Figure.19 Predicted Stock

In above screen we can see actual and predicted values from day1 to 30 and we can check both prices are very close which means LSTM predicting accurate stock prices and above actual and predicted values we can see in below graph

Figure.20 Graph screen

In above screen in text area we can see accuracy, FSCORE and ROC_AUC values for all algorithms using continuous data and in above graph we can see x-axis represents

number of days and y-axis represents stock price and red line represents actual price and green line represents predicted price and we can see there is close difference between actual and predicted so LSTM performance is good and now click on 'Run Binary Prediction' button to convert dataset into binary values and then perform prediction

Figure.21 Binary Prediction Screen

In above screen binary prediction also giving best result and in text area we can see LSTM accuracy is 1.0 which means 100% accurate. Now click on 'Comparison Graph' button to get graph between all algorithms


Figure.22 Graph Screen

In above graph for continuous data ANN and LSTM is giving better result and now click on 'View Comparison Table' button to get below screen

Figure.23 View Comparison Table

In above screen for continuous data LSTM FSCORE is high and below we can see binary data result

Figure.24 View Comparison Table

In above screen with binary data LSTM got 100% accuracy, FSCORE and ROC_AUC. Below is the binary data comparison graph between all algorithms


Figure.25 Compare Graph

In above graph LSTM is giving better output result compare to all algorithms

5.CONCLUSION :

The purpose of this study was the prediction task of stock market movement by machine learning and deep learning algorithms. Four stock market groups, namely diversified financials, petroleum, non-metallic minerals and basic metals, from Tehran stock exchange were chosen, and the dataset was based on ten years of historical records with ten technical features. Also, nine machine learning models (Decision Tree, Random Forest, Adaboost, XGBoost, SVC, Naïve Bayes, KNN, Logistic Regression and ANN) and two deep learning methods (RNN and LSTM) were employed as predictors. We supposed two approaches for input values to models, continuous data and binary data, and we employed three classification metrics for evaluations. Our

experimental works showed that there was a significant improvement in the performance of models when they use binary data instead of continuous one. Indeed, deep learning algorithms (RNN and LSTM) were our superior models in both approaches.

6.REFERENCES :

[1] Murphy, John J. Technical analysis of the financial markets: A comprehensive guide to trading methods and applications. Penguin, 1999.

[2] Turner, Toni. A Beginner's Guide To Day Trading Online 2nd Edition. Simon and Schuster, 2007.

[3] Maqsood, Haider, et al. "A local and global event sentiment based efficient stock exchange forecasting using deep learning." International Journal of Information Management 50 (2020): 432-451.

[4] Long, Wen, Zhichen Lu, and Lingxiao Cui. "Deep learning-based feature engineering for stock price movement prediction." Knowledge-Based Systems 164 (2019): 163-173.

[5] Duarte, Juan Benjamin Duarte, Leonardo Hernán Talero Sarmiento, and Katherine Julieth Sierra Juárez. "Evaluation of the effect of investor psychology on an artificial stock market through its degree of efficiency." Contaduría y Administración 62.4 (2017): 1361-1376.

[6] Lu, Ning. "A machine learning approach to automated trading." Boston, MA: Boston College Computer Science Senior Thesis (2016).

[7] Hassan, Md Rafiul, Baikunth Nath, and Michael Kirley. "A fusion model of HMM, ANN and GA for stock market forecasting." Expert systems with Applications 33.1 (2007): 171-180.

[8] Huang, Wei, Yoshiteru Nakamori, and Shou-Yang Wang. "Forecasting stock market movement direction with support vector machine." Computers & operations research 32.10 (2005): 2513-2522.gg

[9] Sun, Jie, and Hui Li. "Financial distress prediction using support vector machines: Ensemble vs. individual." Applied Soft Computing 12.8 (2012): 2254- 2265.

[10] Ou, Phichhang, and Hengshan Wang. "Prediction of stock market index movement by ten data mining techniques." Modern Applied Science 3.12 (2009): 28- 42.

[11] Liu, Fajiang, and Jun Wang. "Fluctuation prediction of stock market index by Legendre neural network with random time strength function." Neurocomputing 83 (2012): 12-21.

[12] Tsai, Chih-Fong, et al. "Predicting stock returns by classifier ensembles." Applied Soft Computing 11.2 (2011): 2452-2459.

[13] AraúJo, Ricardo De A., and Tiago AE Ferreira. "A morphological-rank-linear

evolutionary method for stock market prediction." Information Sciences 237 (2013): 3-17.

[14] Ballings, Michel, et al. "Evaluating multiple classifiers for stock price direction prediction." Expert Systems with Applications 42.20 (2015): 7046-7056.

[15] Basak, Suryoday, et al. "Predicting the direction of stock market prices using tree-based classifiers." The North American Journal of Economics and Finance 47 (2019): 552-567.

[16] Weng, Bin, et al. "Macroeconomic indicators alone can predict the monthly closing price of major US indices: Insights from artificial intelligence, time-series analysis and hybrid models." Applied Soft Computing 71 (2018): 685-697.

[17] Long, Jiawei, et al. "An integrated framework of deep learning and knowledge graph for prediction of stock price trend: An application in Chinese stock exchange market." Applied Soft Computing (2020): 106205.

[18] Rekha, G., et al. "Prediction of Stock Market Using Neural Network Strategies." Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience 16.5-6 (2019): 2333-2336.

[19] Pang, Xiongwen, et al. "An innovative neural network approach for stock market prediction." The Journal of Supercomputing (2018): 1-21.

[20] Kelotra, A. and P. Pandey, Stock Market Prediction Using Optimized Deep-ConvLSTM Model. Big Data, 2020. 8(1): p. 5-24.

[21] Baek, Yujin, and Ha Young Kim. "ModAugNet: A new forecasting framework for stock market index value with an overfitting prevention LSTM module and a prediction LSTM module." Expert Systems with Applications 113 (2018): 457-480.

[22] Chung, H. and K.-s. Shin, Genetic algorithm-optimized long short-term memory network for stock market prediction. Sustainability, 2018. 10(10): p. 3765.

[23] Kara, Yakup, Melek Acar Boyacioglu, and Ömer Kaan Baykan. "Predicting direction of stock price index movement using artificial neural networks and support vector machines: The sample of the Istanbul Stock Exchange." Expert systems with Applications 38.5 (2011): 5311-5319.

[24] Patel, Jigar, et al. "Predicting stock market index using fusion of machine learning techniques." Expert Systems with Applications 42.4 (2015): 2162-2172.

[25] Patel, Jigar, et al. "Predicting stock and stock price index movement using trend deterministic data preparation and machine learning techniques." Expert systems with applications 42.1 (2015): 259-268