

PROCESS VALIDATION OF PIMAX TABLET

¹Ms. S. Deepthi,²Mr. A. Phanindra,³Dr. T. Sravanthi

^{1,2}Assistant Professor,³Associate Professor

¹Department of Pharm. Chemistry

²Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis

³Department of Phytochem & Nat. Prod.

Vaagdevi Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Bollikunta, Warangal, Telangana

ABSTRACT

In order to thrive in a cutthroat market, a high standard of product quality must be met.

One of the crucial processes in obtaining and preserving the quality of the finished product batch after batch is validation. We are unable to make a product without equipment. We can guarantee that the finished product is of the highest caliber by verifying every stage of the production process. The goals and advantages of process validation, the many kinds of process validation, the main validation steps, and regulatory considerations are all covered in this overview.

Keywords: Tablets, Equipment, and Validation.

1. Introduction

Validation is a systematic approach to identifying, measuring, evaluating, documenting and re-evaluating a series of critical step, in the manufacturing process that requires control to ensure a reproducible final product. It has become a necessary step to ensure better quality of medicinal product, throughout manufacturing, storage, handling and distribution. Quality cannot be inspected or tested into finished product. Thereby each step must be controlled to maximize probability that finished products meet all specifications. Process Validation is establishing documented evidence which provides a high degree of assurance that a specific process will consistently produce a

product meeting its pre-determined specifications and Quality Standards.[1]

Process Validation has now become a part of Current Good Manufacturing Practices Regulations (cGMP), it is mandatory for manufacturers to go through Process Validation much more rigorously than earlier. Process Validation ensures improved levels of quality which inturn is bound to lead to reduced production costs by way of prevention of product failures. Thus Process validation also can be seen as a sound business proposition. By careful design and validation of both the process and process controls that a manufacturer can establish a high degree of confidence that all manufactured units from successive lots will be acceptable. Successfully validating a process may reduce the dependence upon intensive in-process and finished product testing.[2]

The FDA Guidelines on General Principles of Process Validation defines process validation as-“establishing documented evidence which provides a high degree of assurance that a specific process will consistently produce a product meeting its predetermined specifications and quality characteristics”

According to EMEA, “Process validation can be defined as documented evidence that the process, operated within established parameters, can perform effectively and reproducibly to produce a medical product meeting its predetermined specifications and quality attributes”[3]Considering the case of tablets, Tablets may be swallowed

whole or being chewed. Some are dissolved or dispersed in water before administration. Some are put in oral cavity, where the active ingredient is liberated at a predetermined rate. Implants or passeries may also be presented in form of tablet. Tablet may vary in shape and differ greatly in size and weight depending on the amount of medicinal substance and the intended mode of administration.

Objectives of process validation

- To introduce software verification and validation and to discuss the distinction between them.
- To describe the program inspection process and its role in V & V.
- To explain static analysis as a verification technique.
- To describe the Clean room software development process.[4]

Importance of process validation

- Government regulation
- Rapid automation
- Improved employee awareness
- Easier maintenance of equipments
- Increased output
- Reduction in quality cost
- Less failures of process thus less complaints
- Process optimization[5]

2. Types of process validation

Prospective validation

I. Establishing documented evidence prior to process implementation that a system does what it proposed to do based on preplanned protocols.

II. This approach to validation is normally undertaken whenever the process for a new formula (or within a new facility) must be validated before routine pharmaceutical production commences.

III. Validation of a process by this approach often leads to transfer of the manufacturing process from the development function to production.

Retrospective validation

I. Retrospective validation is used for facilities, processes, and process controls in operation use that have not undergone a formally documented validation process.

II. Validation of these facilities, processes, and process controls is possible using historical data to provide the necessary documentary evidence that the process is doing what it is believed to do.

III. This type of validation is only acceptable for well-established processes and will be inappropriate where there have been recent changes in the composition of product, operating processes, or equipment.

Concurrent validation

I. Concurrent validation is used for establishing documented evidence that a facility and processes do what they purport to do, based on information generated during actual imputation of the process.

II. This approach involves monitoring of critical processing steps and end product testing of current production, to show that the manufacturing process is in a state of control.

Revalidation

I. Revalidation means repeating the original validation effort or any part of it, and includes investigative review of existing performance data.

II. This approach is essential to maintain the validated status of the plant, equipment, manufacturing processes and computer systems. Possible reasons for starting the revalidation process include:

- The transfer of a product from one plant to another.
- Changes to the product, the plant, the manufacturing process, the cleaning process, or other changes that could affect product quality.
- The necessity of periodic checking of the validation results.
- Significant (usually order of magnitude) increase or decrease in batch size.
- Sequential batches that fail to meet product and process specifications.
- The scope of revalidation procedures depends on the extent of the changes and the effect upon the product.

The following points gives strategy for process validation:

- The use of different lots of raw materials should be included. i.e., active drug substance and major excipients.
- Batches should be run in succession and on different days and shifts.
- Batches should be manufactured in the equipment and facilities designated for eventual commercial production.
- Critical process variables should be set within their operating ranges and should not exceed their upper and lower control limits during process operation. Output responses should be well within finished product specifications. [8]

Guidelines for process validation of tablets

There are several important reasons for validating a product and /or process.

- Manufacturers are required by law to confirm to GMP regulations.
- Good business dictates that a manufacture avoid the possibility of rejected or recalled batches.
- Validation helps to ensure product uniformity, reproductibility, and quality.

Process overview



Dispensing

- I. Ensure dispensing booth is clean and line check is given as per Standard operating procedure.
- II. Ensure that balance is not due for calibrated. Check for zero error in the balance.
- III. Check and ensure that the expire date of product to be released is later than that of batch expiry date.
- IV. Check and ensure that the all materials are issued as per Batch Processing Report.

Sifting

- I. Check and record the temperature and relative humidity in processing area i.e. 25 ±20° C & RH 45±5%.
- II. Check and ensure visually all the equipment and equipment parts are cleaned.

III. Check and record the integrity of the sieves before and after sifting through out the processing activity.

3. Granulation

I. Add and dissolve ingredient into vessel.

II. Add the other ingredients into mixer and mix for 5 minutes using impeller at slow speed.

III. Collect, samples at 3,5 and 7 minutes at 5 different places and analyze it for uniformity in content. IV. Add granulating solution and homogenize at slow speed for about 10 minutes. V. Check the Loss of drying in the wet granules.

Table1: Shows control parameters for granulation process

| Fixed Parameters | Variable (Monitor) | Response (Test) |
|------------------|--|--|
| Equipment | Mixer speed Amount of granulate Fluid feed rate granulation Tare Load | Drug distribution Waste solvent Appearance (size) Power consumption (amp/wattage) |

Drying and sizing

Table 2: Shows control parameters for drying and sizing

| Fixed | Variable (Monitor) | Response (Test) |
|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Bowl charge | Inlet/ exhaust air temperature | Particle size distribution |
| Porosity of filter bags | Product temperature | Densities |
| Bowl sieve | Drying time | Loss on drying |
| | Air volume Humidity of incoming air (dew point) Humidity of exhaust air | Assay (for heat sensitive materials) |

I. Check and ensure the integrity of the Fluidized bed drying bag.

II. Initially dry the wet granules with air for 10 minutes.

III. Check the Loss of drying of granules; it should not be not more than 1% at 70°C for 15 minutes.

IV. Check and ensure the dried granules are not stored above 25°C before the milling is started.

V. Check and ensure the integrity of the sieves before and after sieving.

VI. Pass the granules through 16 mm mesh sieve, break the oversize granules using mill fitted with 2mm screen.

VII. Collect the granules and analyse their flow properties

VIII. Check the weight of sifted and dried granules.

Milling

Table 3: Shows control parameters for milling

| Variable | Response |
|---|---|
| Screen size Milling speed Feed rate | Particle size distribution Loose/ tapped densities |

Powder blending

Table4: Shows control parameters for powder blending

| Variable | Response |
|---|--|
| Blending time Blender speed Intensifier bar | Content uniformity Assay Particle size distribution Powder flow |

Lubrications

Table 5: Shows control parameters for lubrications

| Variable | Response |
|---|--|
| Blending speed Blending time Method of addition | Particle size distribution Loose / tapped densities Flow properties Tabletting characteristics (Friability, hardness) |

I. Perform the pre mixing and final mixing as per Batch process report instruction

II. During the final mixing before i.e., before adding the remaining quantity of the lubricant mix for 15 minutes.

III. Collects sample at 5,10,15 minute's intervals form top, middle, bottom and

IV. Composite and subject it to analysis for assay.

V. After adding the remaining quantity of lubricant mix for 5 minutes.

VI. Collects sample at 3,5,7 minutes interval form top, middle, bottom and

composite and subject it to analysis for assay and content uniformity.

VII. Check the weight of the final blend and record.

Compression

I. Check and ensure the temperature and relative humidity of the compression room is not more than 25°C and Relative Humidity not more than 50%.

II. Check and ensure the compression machine is cleaned.

III. Collect 40 tablets and inspect for Appearance, weight, thickness, friability and hardness every 1 hour.

IV. Tablets weight variation shall be XX mg. hardness shall be (IP) kg/cm² , thickness.

V. Collect 40 tablets by "Bracketign" i.e. by increasing this speed of the compression machine form the target speed and by reducing from the targeted speed.

VI. Collect 10 tablets during initial, middle and end of the compression process and subjective it to analysis for content uniformity and perform the assay also.

Coating

I. Check and ensure the coating pan and other equipment's are cleaned.

II. Check and ensure that the tablets is deducted, the speed of the coating pan inlet and exhaust air temperature, spray rate, spray type, temperature of the coating solution.

III. After coating is completed, samples are collected for dissolution testing and weight variation

Labelling and packing

I. Check and record the temperature air the heating roller and sealing roller Check and record that the over printing instructions on labels and cartons.

II. Check and verify that price overprinted on label and carton is as per current price list.

III. After ensuring the proper labeling of tablets, check, for correctness of cartons packing for the same.

Finished product analysis and release

Finished product needs to be analyzed as per in-house specification product released only after predetermined specifications and quality attributes. Needs to be released only after pre-determined specifications [9-12]As a means of providing a broad overview of these validation criteria, the following checklist/guideline.

Check list of Validation and Control Documentation[13]

Table 6: Shows Check list of Validation and Control Documentation

| Sr. No. | Selection of cGMP | Validation and control documentation |
|---------|---|---|
| 1 | Introduction | Establishing of QA & QC functions |
| 2 | Organization and personnel | Establishment and facility installation and qualification |
| 3 | Buildings and facilities | Plant and facility installation qualification Maintenance and sanitation Microbial and pest control |
| 4 | Equipment | Installation and qualification clearing methods. |
| 5 | Air and water quality | Water treatment and steam systems air, heat, and vacuum handling. |
| 6 | Control of raw material, in process material, product | Isocoring cleanrooms Manufacturing non-sterile products |
| 7 | Production and process controls | Process control systems (instruments and computers) |
| 8 | Packing and labeling controls | Depyrogenation, sterile packing, filling, and closing |
| 9 | Holding and distribution | Facilities |
| 10 | Laboratory controls | Analytical methods |
| 11 | Records and reports | Computer systems |
| 12 | Returned and salvage drug products | Batch processing |

Protocol for process validation

Protocol for title page in industry is shown in table 7 [14]

Table 7: Shows Check list of Validation and Control Documentation

| NAME OF THE COMPANY | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|
| PROCESS VALIDATION PROTOCOL | |
| Product: | Page no. 1 of |
| Protocol no.: | Version no.: |
| Product name: | |
| Label claim: | |
| Master Formula Record no.: | |
| Effective date: | |

Protocol approval is shown in table 8 below:[15]

Table 8: Shows Protocol approval

| Signature | Prepared by | Checked by | | | Approved by |
|------------|-------------|------------|------------|----|-------------|
| Date | | | | | |
| Name | | | | | |
| Department | QA/QAD | R&D | Production | QC | Head QA |

Table 9: Shows Table of contents

| S.No. | Title | Page No. |
|-------|--|----------|
| 1 | Protocol approval sheet | |
| 2 | Table of contents | |
| 3 | Objective | |
| 4 | Scope | |
| 5 | Validation terms and responsibility | |
| 6 | Steps for validation and acceptance criteria | |
| 7 | Process flow chart | |
| 8 | Procedure | |
| 9 | Form - A : Review of raw material/packing material | |
| 10 | Form - B : Evaluation of active material | |
| 11 | Form - C : Evaluation of inactive material | |
| 12 | Form - D : Qualification of equipment | |
| 13 | Form - E : Test instrument calibration | |
| 14 | Form - F : Dry mixing | |
| 15 | Sampling point diagram of RMO | |
| 16 | Form G-Wet mixing | |
| 17 | Form - H : Drying | |
| 18 | Sampling point diagram of FHD | |
| 19 | Form - I : Lubrication | |
| 20 | Sampling point diagram of RMO | |
| 21 | Form - J : Compression | |
| 22 | Form - K : Coating | |
| 23 | Form - L : Bulk packing | |
| 24 | In validation criteria | |
| 25 | Change control | |
| 26 | Stability | |
| 27 | Deviation | |
| 28 | Conclusion | |
| 29 | Report and Approval | |

8. Steps for validation and acceptance criteria in wet granulation process [4]

The steps for acceptance criteria are summarized in table 10:

Table 10: Shows Steps for validation and acceptance criteria in wet granulation process

| Sr. No | Steps | Control Variable | Critical Parameters to be checked | Acceptance criteria |
|--------|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 1 | Dry mixing | Time Impeller speed | Mixing time and speed | Mixing timemin. Impeller speed: (slow/medium/high) = 5RPM. Content uniformity :90%-110% ESD : <3% |
| 2 | Binder preparation and addition | Time Temperature, solvent used | Made and time of addition | Depending upon the formulation |
| 3 | Knocking | Time Impeller speed & chopper speed | Mixing time and speed | Impeller speed : (slow/medium/high) Chopper speed: (slow/medium/high) Depending upon the formulation. |
| 4 | Drying | Inlet/outlet temperature & time | Inlet/outlet temperature & Drying time | Inlet drying:°C Drying time:min. Final drying: %a5C Loss on drying:% below 1% or depending on formulation |
| 5 | Calculation | Time Blender/granulator speed | Mixing time and speed | Mixing timemin. Speed: slowrpm. Content uniformity Physical parameters - See information |
| 6 | Compression | Pressure and barrel speed | Machine speed and | Average weight: 99% 7.5%, 10% |
| 7 | Coating | Pan speed and spray rate | Pan speed & inlet temperature Spray rate | Uniformity of weight mg : Thicknessmm HardnessKN or Kg/cm2 Disintegration time: NMTmin Friability : NMT% Assay : As per the label claim Dissolution: % |

4. Conclusion

Process validation is a key component of cGMPs regulation and is the complete quality attributing tool for the pharmaceutical industries. It is based on review validation data on pharmaceutical process validation and process control variables of tablet manufacturing processes in industry. Ensuring the veracity of data is the primary objective of laboratory equipment qualification.

Pharmaceutical companies currently use equipment qualification programs and procedures that are based on industry norms, voluntary standards, vendor practices, and regulatory requirements.

References

- Johan A, Westerhuis, Pierre MJ. Coenegracht and Coenraad F.Lerk. Multivariate Modelling of the tablet manufacturing process with wet granulation for tablet optimization and in-process control. Drug Development and Industrial Pharmcay.1997; 4(6):357.
- Berman J, Planchard JA. Blend Uniformity and Unit Dose Sampling. Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy. 1995; 21(11):1257-1283.
- Aleem H, Zhao Y, Lord S, McCarthy T and Sharratt P. Pharmaceutical process validation: an overview. J. Proc. Mech. Eng. 2003;217: 141-151.
- Tetzlaff RF, Sheppard RE, LeBlanc AJ, The validation story, Perspectives on the systemic GMP inspection approach and validation development. Pharm Tech March, 1993; 100–116.
- Chow SC, Pharmaceutical validation and process controls in drug development, Drug Information Journal, 1997;31:1195–1201

6. Howard T. Fuller Six Sigma for Validation, Journal of Validation Technology. 2000; 6(4):749-765.
7. Lieberman, HA, Lachman L, Schwartz JB, Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms: Tablets,1(2), MarcelDekker Inc, New York, 195-229.
8. Nash RA and Wachter AH. Pharmaceutical Process Validation An International Third Edition. Revised and Expanded, Marcel Dekkar, Inc., New York, 2003; 129:760-792.
9. Akers MJ, Ketron K and Thompson BF value requirements for the destruction of endotoxin in the validation of dry heat sterilization /depyrogenation cycles, J. Parenter. Drug Assoc. 1982; 36: 23-27.
10. Bunn JL and Sykes IK, A chemical indicator for the rapid measurement of F values, J. Appl. Bacteriol, 1981;51:143-174
11. Han YW, Zhang HI and Krochta JM, Death rates of bacterial spores, Can. J. Microbiol, 1976;22:295-300.
12. Ernst RR, West KL and Doyle JE, Problem areas in sterility testing, Drug Assoc. Bull., 1969, 23:29-39
13. Bowman FW, The sterility testing of pharmaceuticals, J. Pharm. Sci., 1969;58:1301-1308.
14. Nash RA and Wachter AH. Pharmaceutical Process Validation An International Third Edition. Revised and Expanded, Marcel Dekkar, Inc., New York, 2003; 129:760-792.
15. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Guideline on General Principles of Process Validation; U.S.FDA: Rockville, MD, May, 1987.
16. Chapman, K G, A history of validation in the United States, Part I. Pharm Tech 1991;15(10); 82-96.