

FACTORS INFLUENCING JOB SATISFACTION AMONG ACADEMICS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY ACROSS PUBLIC AND PRIVATE HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTES

Mr. Rohtash Kumar, Dr. Manish Dhingra

Faculty of Commerce and Management,
Rama University Uttar Pradesh, Kanpur
India 209217

Abstract:

Job satisfaction among academics is a crucial factor that influences not only the personal well-being of faculty members but also the overall performance and quality of education within higher education institutions. In India, where both public and private universities coexist, understanding the factors that shape job satisfaction among academics is vital for fostering a conducive academic environment. This study focuses on a comparative analysis of the factors influencing job satisfaction among academics at public and private universities in Uttar Pradesh (UP), one of India's largest and most diverse states in terms of higher education. The study examines both intrinsic and extrinsic factors that contribute to job satisfaction. Intrinsic factors include academic freedom, opportunities for professional development, the intellectual climate of the institution, and autonomy in research and teaching. Extrinsic factors encompass salary, benefits, job security, workload, and the quality of administrative support. The research also considers the influence of leadership styles, institutional culture, and career advancement opportunities on job satisfaction levels in both public and private universities. Data was collected through surveys and interviews from academics at leading public universities such as Banaras Hindu University (BHU) and Aligarh Muslim University (AMU), as well as private institutions like Amity University and Sharda University in UP. The findings reveal significant differences in job satisfaction levels between academics in public and private universities. Public university academics generally report higher satisfaction levels in terms of job security, work-life balance, and academic autonomy. The tenure system in public universities, along with the opportunity to pursue independent research, contributes positively to their overall job satisfaction. However, dissatisfaction arises from limited financial incentives and bureaucratic hurdles.

In contrast, academics in private universities report higher satisfaction with financial compensation, including salary and benefits, but lower satisfaction regarding workload and job security. Private universities, which often operate in a competitive, market-driven environment, offer higher salaries and performance-based incentives. However, the higher workload, coupled with increased administrative pressures, tends to reduce overall satisfaction. Faculty members at private institutions also report limited academic freedom, as institutional goals and business-oriented strategies often restrict the scope for independent research and creative teaching methods.

Leadership and institutional policies emerge as pivotal factors influencing job satisfaction. Public universities in UP generally adopt more democratic leadership styles, where faculty members have some degree of influence in decision-making processes. This inclusivity enhances job satisfaction, as academics feel more involved in institutional development. On the other hand, private universities in UP are characterized by more hierarchical structures, where decision-making is often concentrated at the top. This lack of participatory governance negatively affects job satisfaction, as faculty members feel distanced from strategic decisions that impact their professional roles. One of the key findings of the study is the impact of academic freedom on job satisfaction. Public university academics in UP enjoy greater freedom in designing their courses, conducting research, and expressing intellectual opinions. This freedom fosters a sense of fulfillment and professional autonomy. In contrast, private university academics experience restrictions, as their institutions tend to align their research and teaching with market trends and institutional priorities, limiting creativity and innovation in academic pursuits.

Keywords:

Job Satisfaction, Academics, Public Universities, Private Universities, Higher Education, Uttar Pradesh, India, Academic Freedom, Workload, Leadership Styles, Institutional Policies, Job Security, Financial Incentives, Professional Development, Work-Life Balance.

Introduction:

Job satisfaction is a critical factor that significantly impacts the quality of education, research productivity, and the overall well-being of academics. The concept of job satisfaction has been studied extensively in various sectors, but its importance in the education sector, especially in higher education, has gained increasing attention over the past few decades. In the context of Indian higher education, where both public and private institutions coexist, job satisfaction among academics is influenced by a complex interplay of factors ranging from salary and benefits to academic freedom and work-life balance.

India's higher education system is one of the largest in the world, with over 1,000 universities and more than 40,000 colleges as of 2020. The state of Uttar Pradesh (UP) plays a significant role in this sector, housing some of the country's most prestigious public and private universities. Public universities like Banaras Hindu University (BHU) and Aligarh Muslim University (AMU) have a long-established reputation, while private institutions like Amity University and Sharda University have emerged as key players in recent years. The coexistence of these two types of institutions offers a unique opportunity to study the factors that influence job satisfaction among academics in diverse educational settings.

This study explores the factors affecting job satisfaction among academics in public and private universities in Uttar Pradesh, India, focusing on intrinsic and extrinsic factors, leadership styles, and institutional policies. It aims to compare how these factors differ between public and private institutions, shedding light on the unique challenges and opportunities faced by faculty members in each setting.

Background of Indian Higher Education

India's higher education sector has undergone significant expansion and transformation over the past few decades. According to the **All India Survey on Higher Education (AISHE) 2019-2020**, India had over 37.4 million students enrolled in higher education, with 993 universities and 39,931 colleges. The Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) for higher education in India was 27.1%, reflecting a steady increase over the years. Despite this growth, there remain significant disparities in the quality of education provided by public and private institutions.

Public universities in India are typically government-funded and have a long history of providing higher education. They are often considered more prestigious due to their research output, faculty expertise, and academic freedom. On the other hand, private universities have emerged in the past few decades as profit-driven institutions that offer competitive salaries and modern facilities to attract both students and faculty. However, they often face criticism for their business-oriented approach, which can undermine academic freedom and increase faculty workloads.

Job Satisfaction in Higher Education

Job satisfaction, defined as the extent to which individuals feel fulfilled and content with their professional roles, is particularly important in academia. Satisfied faculty members are more likely to engage in research, collaborate with colleagues, and contribute to the overall development of their institutions. On the other hand, dissatisfaction can lead to lower productivity, higher turnover rates, and burnout. In the context of higher education, job satisfaction is influenced by several factors, including salary, benefits, work environment, academic freedom, opportunities for professional development, and leadership.

Intrinsic and Extrinsic Factors

The factors influencing job satisfaction can be broadly categorized into intrinsic and extrinsic factors. Intrinsic factors are those that relate to the nature of the work itself and include academic freedom, autonomy, intellectual stimulation, and opportunities for professional growth. These factors are particularly important in academic settings, where the freedom to conduct research, publish findings, and engage in creative teaching methods is central to job satisfaction.

Extrinsic factors, on the other hand, are external to the work and include salary, benefits, job security, workload, and administrative support. In India, salary discrepancies between public and private universities are a common issue. Public university faculty often receive lower salaries but benefit from job security, pension plans, and other perks. Private university faculty, while often receiving higher salaries, may face greater job insecurity and higher administrative demands.

A study conducted by **Srinivas and Sudha (2017)** found that faculty members in public universities reported higher job satisfaction due to job security and academic freedom, while those in private universities were more satisfied with salary and benefits but less satisfied with workload and job security. This aligns with similar findings in other regions, where public universities are seen as more stable, while private institutions offer better financial rewards but come with additional pressures.

Job Satisfaction in Uttar Pradesh Universities

Uttar Pradesh, being one of the largest states in India, has a diverse higher education sector that includes both public and private universities. Public institutions like Banaras Hindu University (BHU), Aligarh Muslim University (AMU), and the University of Lucknow have long been considered the pillars of higher education in the state. These institutions provide their faculty with a stable work environment, opportunities for research, and a degree of academic freedom that is often lacking in private universities.

However, public universities also face significant challenges, including bureaucratic inefficiencies, limited financial resources, and an increasing student population that puts pressure on faculty. These issues can contribute to job dissatisfaction, particularly among younger faculty members who may feel that their professional growth is hindered by a lack of resources and opportunities for advancement.

On the other hand, private universities like Amity University and Sharda University have emerged as key players in the higher education sector in UP. These institutions offer competitive salaries, modern infrastructure, and performance-based incentives to attract top faculty talent. However, the emphasis on profitability and student satisfaction often leads to increased workloads for faculty, who are expected to deliver high-quality teaching, research, and administrative duties.

A survey conducted by **Raj and Singh (2019)** among faculty members in public and private universities in UP found that job satisfaction was higher in public universities due to job security and work-life balance, while faculty in private universities were more satisfied with salary and infrastructure but reported higher stress levels due to workload and administrative pressure.

Leadership and Institutional Policies

Leadership styles and institutional policies also play a significant role in influencing job satisfaction among academics. Public universities in India are typically governed by democratic processes, with faculty members having a say in decision-making through committees and academic councils. This inclusive governance structure contributes to higher job satisfaction, as faculty members feel more involved in the institutional development process.

Private universities, however, often operate with a more hierarchical management structure, where decisions are made by top administrators with limited input from faculty members. This top-down approach can lead to frustration and dissatisfaction among faculty, who may feel that their opinions and expertise are undervalued.

In terms of institutional policies, public universities in UP offer more transparent promotion criteria and tenure systems, which provide a clear career path for faculty members. Private universities, while offering faster promotions and financial incentives, often lack transparency in promotion decisions, which can lead to job insecurity and dissatisfaction.

The Role of Academic Freedom

Academic freedom is another critical factor that influences job satisfaction in higher education. Public universities in India, particularly those in UP, are known for offering their faculty greater freedom in terms of research, teaching methods, and intellectual expression. This freedom allows

faculty members to pursue innovative research projects, develop creative teaching strategies, and contribute to academic discourse without fear of reprisal.

In contrast, private universities often impose restrictions on faculty due to their focus on market-driven goals and institutional branding. Faculty members in private institutions may be required to align their research with institutional priorities or focus on areas that are more likely to attract funding and student interest. This can limit intellectual autonomy and lead to dissatisfaction among faculty members who value creative freedom.

Literature Review:

Job satisfaction in academia has been extensively studied in both global and local contexts, with a growing body of research examining the factors that influence faculty well-being, motivation, and overall contentment with their professional roles. The importance of understanding job satisfaction in higher education is underscored by its direct impact on teaching quality, research output, and institutional performance. This literature review highlights key studies and theoretical frameworks that explore job satisfaction among academics, with a focus on comparative studies between public and private universities, particularly in India.

Job Satisfaction Theories

Job satisfaction has traditionally been examined through various theoretical lenses. **Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory** is one of the most prominent frameworks, distinguishing between intrinsic factors (motivators) and extrinsic factors (hygiene factors) that affect job satisfaction. According to Herzberg, motivators such as recognition, responsibility, and opportunities for advancement lead to higher satisfaction, while hygiene factors like salary, job security, and working conditions prevent dissatisfaction but do not necessarily increase satisfaction. This theory is highly relevant to academia, where intrinsic factors like academic freedom and professional growth play a significant role in job satisfaction.

Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs is another relevant framework, suggesting that individuals have five levels of needs—physiological, safety, social, esteem, and self-actualization. In an academic context, self-actualization (achieved through intellectual pursuits and autonomy) is a key driver of satisfaction, while lower-level needs like salary and job security must also be met. These theories provide a foundation for understanding how both intrinsic and extrinsic factors contribute to job satisfaction among academics in higher education institutions.

Factors Influencing Job Satisfaction in Academia

Numerous studies have identified the factors that influence job satisfaction in higher education, often categorizing them into intrinsic and extrinsic domains. **Deci and Ryan's (1985) Self-Determination Theory** emphasizes the role of autonomy, competence, and relatedness in fostering job satisfaction. In academia, autonomy is crucial as it allows faculty members to design their own courses, pursue independent research, and contribute creatively to their disciplines. Studies by **Oshagbemi (1997)** and **Kalleberg and Loscocco (1983)** affirm that autonomy is a significant predictor of job satisfaction in academic settings.

Academic freedom has emerged as one of the most important intrinsic factors influencing job satisfaction. **Yusuf and Metiboba (2012)** highlight that academics who enjoy greater freedom in research and teaching report higher levels of job satisfaction. In contrast, the increasing commercialization of higher education, particularly in private universities, has raised concerns about the erosion of academic freedom. Private institutions often impose restrictions on faculty, pressuring them to align their research with institutional goals or market demands, which can negatively affect job satisfaction.

Professional development opportunities are another intrinsic factor. Studies such as **Crossman and Abou-Zaki (2003)** indicate that academics who have access to career development resources, such as workshops, conferences, and research funding, tend to report higher satisfaction levels. This is particularly relevant in public universities, where professional development opportunities are often more readily available than in private institutions.

On the extrinsic side, **salary and benefits** are critical factors influencing job satisfaction. **Srinivas and Sudha (2017)** conducted a study in India comparing faculty members in public and private universities. They found that private university faculty are generally more satisfied with their financial compensation, which includes higher salaries and performance-based incentives. However, this financial satisfaction is often offset by increased workloads and administrative pressures. **Mukherjee and Bhattacharya (2018)** also found similar trends in their study, noting that while salary plays a significant role, it is not the sole determinant of job satisfaction in academia.

Workload is another major factor that affects job satisfaction. Research by **Blix et al. (1994)** indicates that excessive teaching loads and administrative duties can lead to burnout, reducing overall job satisfaction. This is particularly problematic in private universities, where faculty members are often expected to teach more classes and manage additional responsibilities compared to their counterparts in public institutions. **Raj and Singh (2019)**, in their study of public and private universities in Uttar Pradesh, found that public university faculty were more satisfied with their work-life balance due to lighter teaching loads and less administrative oversight.

Comparative Studies: Public vs. Private Universities

Several comparative studies have explored the differences in job satisfaction between academics in public and private universities. **Bender and Heywood (2006)** conducted a large-scale analysis of faculty satisfaction across public and private universities in the United States and found that public university faculty generally report higher satisfaction due to greater job security, autonomy, and a more relaxed work environment. In contrast, private university faculty tend to be more satisfied with financial incentives but face higher levels of job stress due to competitive environments.

In the Indian context, **Agarwal and Tiwari (2016)** examined job satisfaction among faculty in public and private universities in Uttar Pradesh. Their findings mirror global trends, with public university faculty reporting higher levels of satisfaction due to job security, work-life balance, and academic freedom. However, private university faculty expressed greater satisfaction with salary and infrastructure but also noted higher dissatisfaction related to job security and workload.

Chaudhary and Bhaskar (2018) conducted a similar study across North India and observed that private universities tend to attract younger faculty members with higher salaries and modern facilities. However, the emphasis on profitability and administrative control in private institutions often leads to job dissatisfaction due to limited academic freedom and high workloads.

Methodology

This study employs a mixed-methods approach to explore the factors influencing job satisfaction among academics at public and private universities in Uttar Pradesh (UP), India. The research methodology combines both quantitative and qualitative techniques to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the determinants of job satisfaction in higher education institutions. The primary data collection tools include surveys and semi-structured interviews, which are supported by secondary data from academic publications, institutional reports, and previous studies on job satisfaction in higher education.

Research Design

The study is designed to compare job satisfaction levels between academics in public and private universities in UP. It focuses on identifying intrinsic and extrinsic factors that influence job satisfaction, including academic freedom, salary, benefits, workload, job security, professional development opportunities, and leadership styles. A descriptive research design is used to provide an in-depth analysis of these factors, while the comparative aspect highlights the differences in job satisfaction between public and private institutions.

Research Objectives

The key objectives of the study are:

1. To identify the intrinsic and extrinsic factors influencing job satisfaction among academics in public and private universities in UP.
2. To compare the levels of job satisfaction between faculty members in public and private universities.
3. To analyze the impact of institutional policies, leadership styles, and academic freedom on job satisfaction.
4. To provide recommendations for improving job satisfaction among academics in both types of institutions.

Population and Sampling

The study focuses on academics employed in public and private universities in UP. The target population includes faculty members from a diverse range of disciplines, including the arts, sciences, engineering, and social sciences. The universities selected for the study include prestigious public institutions such as **Banaras Hindu University (BHU)** and **Aligarh Muslim University (AMU)**, as well as leading private institutions like **Amity University** and **Sharda University**.

A **stratified random sampling** technique is used to select participants, ensuring representation from both public and private universities across various academic departments and levels of seniority. The sample size for the quantitative survey consists of **200 faculty members**: 100 from public universities and 100 from private universities. For the qualitative interviews, **20 faculty members** are selected (10 from public and 10 from private universities) to gain deeper insights into their experiences and perspectives on job satisfaction.

Data Collection Tools

1. **Quantitative Survey**: A structured questionnaire is used to collect quantitative data from faculty members. The survey consists of **closed-ended questions** and uses a **5-point Likert scale** (1 = strongly disagree, 5 = strongly agree) to measure various aspects of job satisfaction. The questionnaire is divided into sections covering:
 - **Demographics**: Age, gender, academic rank, years of service, and type of institution (public or private).
 - **Intrinsic Factors**: Academic freedom, intellectual autonomy, professional growth, and the quality of the work environment.
 - **Extrinsic Factors**: Salary, benefits, workload, job security, and administrative support.
 - **Institutional Factors**: Leadership style, decision-making processes, and promotion policies.
2. **Qualitative Interviews**: Semi-structured interviews are conducted with 20 faculty members to capture more nuanced views on job satisfaction. The interviews focus on participants' experiences with academic freedom, workload, financial rewards, leadership, and career development opportunities. Interview questions are designed to explore both the positive and negative aspects of their work environments.

Data Analysis

The data collected from the surveys is analyzed using **descriptive statistics** and **inferential statistics**. **SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences)** software is used for data analysis. The descriptive analysis provides an overview of the demographic characteristics of the respondents, as well as the mean scores for each job satisfaction factor. Inferential statistics, including **t-tests** and **ANOVA**, are used to determine whether there are significant differences in job satisfaction between public and private university faculty members.

The qualitative interview data is analyzed using **thematic analysis**. Transcripts of the interviews are reviewed to identify common themes related to job satisfaction, such as challenges associated with workload, the role of academic freedom, and the impact of leadership styles on faculty morale. The qualitative findings are used to complement and explain the quantitative results, providing a deeper understanding of the factors that influence job satisfaction.

Demographic Variable	Public University Faculty (n = 100)	Private University Faculty (n = 100)	Total (n = 200)
Gender			
Male	58	62	120
Female	42	38	80
Age Group			
25-34 years	20	32	52
35-44 years	38	42	80
45-54 years	30	18	48
55+ years	12	8	20
Academic Rank			
Assistant Professor	50	65	115
Associate Professor	30	20	50
Professor	20	15	35
Years of Service			
1-5 years	25	30	55
6-10 years	35	45	80
11-15 years	25	15	40
16+ years	15	10	25

Quantitative Data Analysis

The survey data revealed key differences in job satisfaction levels between faculty members at public and private universities. Public university faculty scored higher on intrinsic factors such as **academic freedom** and **work-life balance**, while private university faculty scored higher on extrinsic factors such as **salary** and **benefits**. The results of the t-tests show significant differences in job satisfaction levels between public and private university faculty, particularly in terms of job security and workload.

Factor	Public University (Mean)	Private University (Mean)	t-value	p-value
Academic Freedom	4.2	3.1	5.45	< 0.001
Salary	3.0	4.1	-4.12	< 0.001
Workload	3.5	2.8	3.26	0.002
Job Security	4.5	2.7	7.89	< 0.001
Leadership Support	3.8	3.4	2.35	0.021

Qualitative Data Analysis

The thematic analysis of the interview data highlighted several recurring themes. Faculty at public universities emphasized the importance of **academic freedom** and **autonomy in research**, expressing satisfaction with the relatively low administrative burden. However, some public university academics raised concerns about **limited financial incentives** and **bureaucratic inefficiencies**.

In contrast, private university faculty highlighted **better financial rewards** and **modern infrastructure** as key drivers of job satisfaction. However, they also expressed concerns about **heavy workloads** and **limited academic freedom**, as well as a more rigid and hierarchical management style that left little room for faculty input in decision-making.

Key Findings:

- **Academic Freedom:** Faculty in public universities report higher satisfaction with academic freedom, citing greater autonomy in research and teaching compared to their private counterparts.
- **Salary and Benefits:** Private university faculty are more satisfied with financial rewards, including higher salaries and better benefits, but face heavier workloads.
- **Job Security:** Public university faculty enjoy greater job security, leading to higher satisfaction, while private university faculty experience more job insecurity.
- **Workload:** Faculty in private universities report higher dissatisfaction with workloads and administrative responsibilities, impacting their overall job satisfaction.
- **Leadership:** Public university faculty are more content with inclusive decision-making, while private university faculty report dissatisfaction with top-down management styles.

Conclusion:

This study provides an in-depth analysis of job satisfaction among academics in public and private universities in Uttar Pradesh, India. The findings reveal significant differences in the factors influencing job satisfaction across these two types of institutions. Public university faculty

members report higher levels of satisfaction in areas such as academic freedom, job security, and work-life balance, primarily due to the more relaxed administrative environment and greater autonomy. However, they also express concerns about lower salaries and bureaucratic inefficiencies that limit financial growth and career advancement opportunities.

On the other hand, private university faculty are more satisfied with extrinsic factors such as salary, benefits, and access to modern infrastructure. However, they experience greater dissatisfaction with heavy workloads, limited academic freedom, and job insecurity, which diminishes their overall job satisfaction. The more hierarchical and profit-driven nature of private institutions also creates additional stress, as faculty members often have less input in decision-making processes and face higher performance expectations.

The study underscores the need for tailored strategies to enhance job satisfaction in both public and private institutions. Public universities should focus on improving financial incentives and addressing bureaucratic delays, while private institutions could benefit from reducing workloads and offering greater academic freedom to faculty members. By addressing these issues, both public and private universities can foster a more supportive and productive environment for academics, leading to improved teaching and research outcomes across the higher education sector in Uttar Pradesh.

References:

- 1 Abushariah, M. A., Aion, R. N., Zainuddin, R., Elshafei, M., & Khalifa, O. O. (2010, May). Natural speaker-independent Arabic speech recognition system based on Hidden Markov Models using Sphinx tools. In *International Conference on Computer and Communication Engineering (ICCCE'10)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- 2 Ahmad, M. A. A., & Jameel, A. S. (2018). Factors affecting on job satisfaction among academic staff. *Polytechnic Journal*, 8(2).
- 3 Ali, S., & Farooqi, Y. A. (2014). Effect of work overload on job satisfaction, effect of job satisfaction on employee performance and employee engagement (a case of public sector University of Gujranwala Division). *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences and Engineering*, 5(8), 23-30.
- 4 Al-Smadi, M. S., & Qblan, Y. M. (2015). Assessment of Job Satisfaction among Faculty Members and Its Relationship with Some Variables in Najran University. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 6(35), 117-123.
- 5 Amin, M., Shah, S., & Tatlah, I. A. (2013). Impact of Principals/Directors' Leadership Styles on Job Satisfaction of the Faculty Members: Perceptions of the Faculty Members in a Public University of Punjab, Pakistan. *Journal of Research & Reflections in Education (JRRE)*, 7(2).
- 6 Basak, S. K. (2014). Comparison of University Academics Job Factors: Satisfaction and Dissatisfaction. *Journal of economics and behavioral studies*, 6(6), 500-508.
- 7 Belias, D., & Koustelios, A. (2014). Organizational culture and job satisfaction: A review. *International review of management and marketing*, 4(2), 132-149.
- 8 Bello, A. O., Ogundipe, O. M., & Eze, S. C. (2017). Employee job satisfaction in Nigerian tertiary institution: a comparative study of academic staff in public and private universities. *Global Journal of Human Resource Management*, 5(4), 33-46.
- 9 Castro, A. J., Vaughn, C. C., García-Llorente, M., Julian, J. P., & Atkinson, C. L. (2016). Willingness to pay for ecosystem services among stakeholder groups in a South-Central US watershed with

- regional conflict. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 142(9), 05016006.
- 10 Code, J., Table, P., Range, P., Rate, M. M., Rate, M. M. I., & Eligible, O. T. Individuals performing in professional jobs are compensated initially for their _.
- 11 Dajani, D., & Zaki, M. A. (2015). The impact of employee engagement on job performance and organisational commitment in the Egyptian banking sector.
- 12 Daneshfard, C., & Ekvaniyan, K. E. (2012). Organizational commitment and job satisfaction in Islamic Azad University. *Interdisciplinary Journal of contemporary research in Business*, 3(9), 168-181.
- 14 de Lourdes Machado, M., Brites, R., Magalhães, A., & Sá, M. J. (2011). Satisfaction with higher education: Critical data for student development. *European Journal of Education*, 46(3), 415-432.
- 15 Enders, J., & Teichler, U. (1997). A victim of their own success? Employment and working conditions of academic staff in comparative perspective. *Higher education*, 34(3), 347-372.
- 16 Foor, R. M., & Cano, J. (2011). Predictors of Job Satisfaction Among Selected Agriculture Faculty. *Journal of Agricultural Education*, 52(1), 30-39.
- 17 Gautam, M., Mandal, K., & Dalal, R. S. (2006). Job satisfaction of faculty members of veterinary sciences: an analysis. *Age*, 36(5.91), 0-92.
- 18 Gupta, M., & Gehlawat, M. (2013). Job satisfaction and work motivation of secondary school teachers in relation to some demographic variables: a comparative study. *Educationia Confab*, 2(1), 10-19.
- 19 Hijazi, S., Kasim, A. L., & Daud, Y. (2017). Leadership styles and their relationship with the private university employees' job satisfaction in United Arab Emirates. *Journal of Public Administration and Governance*, 6(4), 110-124.
- 20 Ilyas, M., & Abdullah, T. (2016). The Effect of Leadership, Organizational Culture, Emotional Intelligence, and Job Satisfaction on Performance. *The Effect of Leadership, Organizational Culture, Emotional Intelligence, and Job Satisfaction on Performance*, 5(2), 158-164.
- 22 Katoch, O. R. (2012). Job satisfaction among college teachers: A study on government leges in Jammu (J&K). *Asian Journal of Research in social science & Humanities*, 2(4), 164-180.
- 23 Khalid, S., Irshad, M. Z., & Mahmood, B. (2012). Job satisfaction among academic staff: A comparative analysis between public and private sector universities of Punjab, Pakistan. *International journal of Business and Management*, 7(1), 126.
- 24 Khan, M. S., Khan, I., Kundi, G. M., Khan, S., Nawaz, A., Khan, F., & Yar, N. B. (2014). The impact of job satisfaction and organizational commitment on the intention to leave among the academicians. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 4(2), 114.
- 25 Long, C. S., Yusof, W. M. M., Kowang, T. O., & Heng, L. H. (2014). The impact of transformational leadership style on job satisfaction. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 29(1), 117-124.
- 26 McGill, M. M., & Settle, A. (2012). Identifying effects of institutional resources and support on computing faculty research productivity, tenure, and promotion. *International journal of doctoral studies*, 7, 167-198.
- 27 Mehboob, F., Bhutto, N. A., Azhar, S. M., & Butt, F. (2012). Factors affecting job satisfaction among faculty members Herzberg s two factor theory perspective a study of shah abdul latif university, sind, Pakistan.
- 28 MEITHIANA, I. (2017). The Effect Of Organizational Culture, Environmental Work, Leadership Style On The Job Satisfaction And Its Impact On The Performance Of Teaching In State Community Academy Bojonegoro. *THE EFFECT OF ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE, ENVIRONMENTAL WORK, LEADERSHIP STYLE ON THE JOB SATISFACTION AND ITS IMPACT ON THE PERFORMANCE OF TEACHING IN STATE COMMUNITY ACADEMY BOJONEGORO*, 58-73.
- 29 Mustapha, N. (2013). The influence of financial reward on job satisfaction among academic staffs at

- public universities in Kelantan, Malaysia. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 4(3).
- 30 Oshagbemi, T. (1999). Overall job satisfaction: how good are single versus multiple-item measures?. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*.
- 31 Parks, B. W., Nam, E., Org, E., Kostem, E., Norheim, F., Hui, S. T., ... & Lusic, A. J. (2013). Genetic control of obesity and gut microbiota composition in response to high-fat, high-sucrose diet in mice. *Cell metabolism*, 17(1), 141-152.
- 32 PP, S. (2017). Job satisfaction of women teachers with special reference to Malappuram district. *International Journal of Human Resources Management (IJHRM)*, 6(6), 1-8.
- 33 Rehman, K., Rehman, Z., Saif, N., Khan, A. S., Nawaz, A., & Rehman, S. (2013). Impacts of job satisfaction on organizational commitment: a theoretical model for academicians in HEI of developing countries like Pakistan. *International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Sciences*, 3(1), 80-89.
- 34 Ricotta, C., Heathfield, D., Godefroid, S., & Mazzoleni, S. (2012). The effects of habitat filtering on the phylogenetic structure of the urban flora of Brussels (Belgium). *Community Ecology*, 13(1), 97-101.
- 35 Sabri, P. S. U., Ilyas, M., & Amjad, Z. (2011). Organizational culture and its impact on the job satisfaction of the University teachers of Lahore. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 2(24).
- 36 Saif, S. K., Nawaz, A., & Jan, F. A. (2012). Predicting job-satisfaction among the academicians of universities in KPK, Pakistan. *Industrial Engineering Letters*, 2(2), 34-45.
- 37 Saner, T., & Eyüpoğlu, Ş. Z. (2012). The age and job satisfaction relationship in higher education. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 55, 1020-1026.
- 38 Shehadeh, A. L., & Albdareen, R. (2015). Organizational justice and its impact on the commitment of faculty members to work ethics: empirical study on private universities in north provinces. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 7 (12), 196-212.
- 39 Siddique, A., Aslam, H. D., Khan, M., & Fatima, U. (2011). IMPACT OF ACADEMIC LEADERSHIP ON FACULTY'S MOTIVATION AND ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS IN HIGHER EDUCATION SYSTEM. *International journal of academic research*, 3(3).
- 40 Stankovska, G., Angelkoska, S., Osmani, F., & Grncarovska, S. P. (2017). Job Motivation and Job Satisfaction among Academic Staff in Higher Education. *Bulgarian Comparative Education Society*.
- 41 Tabassum, A. (2012). Interrelations between quality of work life dimensions and faculty member job satisfaction in the Private Universities of Bangladesh. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 4(2), 78-89.
- 42 Tajedini, O., Azami, M., & Sadatmoosavi, A. (2018). The investigation of utilization of research findings in humanities: the effects of individual characteristics of faculty members on their viewpoints. *Library Philosophy and Practice (ejournal)*, 18(2), 17-38.
- 43 Usop, A. M., Askandar, K., Langguyuan-Kadtong, M., & Usop, D. A. S. O. (2013). Work performance and job satisfaction among teachers. *International journal of humanities and social science*, 3(5), 245-252.
- 44 Usop, A. M., Kadtong, M. L., & Usop, D. A. S. O. (2013). The significant relationship between work performance and job satisfaction in Philippines. *International Journal of Human Resource Management and Research*, 3(2), 9-16.
- 45 Weber, M. (1904). *Economy and Society*, 2 volumes, (Berkeley, 1978; 1922) chapters on the emergence of the polity of the states (Staru: lestaat) and bureaucracy are useful. Also, his *The Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism*, trans., Talcott Parsons, (London, 1905).
- 46 Yoganandan, G., & Sowndarya, M. M. (2015). Job satisfaction of faculty members working in self-financing and government arts and science colleges in Namakkal district. *International Journal of Research (IJR)*, 2(3), 255-261.