

Brain Tumor Prediction Using CNN Algorithm

C. Yosepu, P. Devasudha, Dr. M. Vadivukarassi, Dr. G. JawaherlalNehru,

^{1,2}Assistant Professor, ^{3,4}Associate Professor ^{1,2,3,4} Department of Computer Science & Engineering St. Martins Engineering College, Secunderabad, Telangana

Abstract

Brain tumor detection is a critical aspect of medical imaging, essential for early diagnosis and treatment planning. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have proven to be highly effective in various computer vision tasks, including medical image analysis. In this research, we present an algorithm based on CNNs for the detection of brain tumors using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans. The proposed algorithm employs a deep CNN architecture with multiple convolutional and pooling layers to automatically extract pertinent features from MRI images. Data preprocessing, including skull stripping and intensity normalization, is applied to enhance the network's performance. The trained CNN model exhibits significant accuracy and sensitivity in distinguishing between tumor and non-tumor regions in brain MRI scans. Our proposed approach shows promising results and serves as a valuable tool for radiologists and clinicians, facilitating early detection and diagnosis of brain tumors. The existing offering process is susceptible to vulnerabilities that can negatively impact project delivery. Previous studies have extensively explored memory management issues associated with large datasets; however, these studies have not proposed solutions for problem analysis or mitigation. Additionally, there has been a lack of examination into the efficiency of the data maintenance process itself. This project aims to identify and analyze issues at each stage of the public tendering process, offering potential solutions to address or mitigate these concerns. The vendor selection process for subcontracting projects or purchasing project-related goods and services is conducted through the bidding process. Bid records contain specifications for the project or information about the goods and services to be procured. In this project, we consolidate all sensitive and large-volume data provided by various stakeholders in the bidding process. Instead of relying on traditional approaches in big data systems, we employ a divide-and-retrieve approach. A prominent issue in the bidding system is its inability to provide a comprehensive contractor database containing information about their personnel, previous works, experiences, and performance evaluations. Another significant factor to consider is the scarcity of human resources, both in terms of quantity and expertise. The project aims to address these challenges and enhance the efficiency of the public tendering process.

Keywords:

Brain Tumor Detection, CNN Architecture, Data Pre-Processing, Magnetic Resonance Imaging.

INTRODUCTION

Brain tumors present significant challenges in medical science, requiring thorough analysis, especially in the early stages of tumor growth. The gold standard for detecting the grade of brain tumors involves histological grading through a stereotactic biopsy. However, this biopsy procedure poses risks such as bleeding, infection, seizures, and other severe complications. Moreover, stereotactic biopsy is not 100% accurate, leading to potential diagnostic errors and incorrect clinical management.Given the challenges and risks associated with tumor biopsy, non-invasive imaging techniques like Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) have become crucial in diagnosing brain tumors. The development of systems for detecting and predicting tumor grades based on MRI data has become essential. However, the proper visualization of tumor cells and differentiation from nearby soft tissues remains challenging due to low illumination, large datasets, and the complex and varied nature of tumors.

The emergence of machine learning in medical imaging, particularly using Deep Convolutional Neural Networks (ConvNets), has revolutionized disease diagnosis. In the case of brain tumors, automated defect detection using machine learning, and specifically ConvNets, has become vital. This approach offers a good alternative to save



Social Science Journal

radiologist time and ensures tested accuracy in detecting abnormal tissues necessary for treatment planning. In this project, we focus on the application of machine learning, particularly Deep ConvNets, in radiology for a simpler and more efficient diagnosis of brain tumors. The project aims to detect and classify brain tumors, comparing results in binary and multi-class classification, with and without Transfer Learning. Transfer Learning involves using pre-trained Keras models like VGG16, ResNet50, and Inception v3 within the Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) architecture. The ultimate goal is to provide a feasible alternative to surgical biopsy for brain tumors, offering automated measurements that can significantly aid clinicians in the clinical management of brain tumors.

LITERATURE SURVEY

The field of deep learning has seen significant advancements in image classification, particularly through the development of various neural network architectures. Here, we discuss key contributions from notable studies:

1. Krizhevsky et al. (2012):

- Achieved state-of-the-art results in image classification through transfer learning.

- Trained a large, deep convolutional neural network on 1.2 million high-resolution images from the ImageNet LSVRC-2010 contest.

- Achieved top-1 and top-5 error rates of 37.5% and 17.0%, respectively, surpassing previous state-of-the-art performance.

- Implemented a neural network with 60 million parameters and 650,000 neurons, consisting of five convolutional layers, max-pooling layers, and three fully-connected layers.

- Utilized non-saturating neurons, efficient GPU implementation, and dropout regularization to enhance training speed and reduce overfitting.

2. Simonyan & Zisserman (2014):

- Investigated the impact of convolutional network depth on accuracy in large-scale image recognition.

- Developed the VGG architecture, evaluating networks with increasing depth using small (3×3) convolution filters.

- Secured the first and second places in the localization and classification tracks in the ImageNet Challenge 2014.

- Demonstrated that increasing depth (16–19 weight layers) can significantly improve network performance.

3. Szegedy et al. (2015):

- Proposed the Inception architecture, setting a new state of the art in the ImageNet Large-Scale Visual Recognition Challenge 2014.

- Improved resource utilization inside the network by carefully designing the architecture to increase depth and width while maintaining a constant computational budget.

- Introduced the concept of approximating optimal sparse structures with dense building blocks to enhance neural networks for computer vision.

4. He et al. (2015b):

ResMilitaris,vol.13 n°,4 ISSN: 2265-6294 (2023)



- Introduced ResNet (Residual Network), incorporating "skip connections" and batch normalization.

- Presented a residual learning framework, reformulating layers as learning residual functions with reference to layer inputs.

- Enabled the training of substantially deeper networks (up to 152 layers) compared to previous architectures like VGG.

- Achieved 1st place in the ILSVRC 2015 classification task with an ensemble of ResNets and provided empirical evidence of their optimization ease and improved accuracy.

EXISTING SYSTEM

In the existing system for brain tumor detection, the process primarily relies on image processing techniques. The workflow involves the following steps:

1. Image Acquisition:

- Brain CT scan or MRI scan images are initially acquired from medical imaging devices.

2. Pre-processing:

- The acquired images undergo reprocessing techniques to enhance their quality and suitability for further analysis.

- Common pre-processing steps may include noise reduction, contrast adjustment, and normalization.

3. Segmentation:

- The pre-processed images are then subjected to segmentation techniques.
- Segmentation involves dividing the image into different regions or segments based on certain characteristics. In

the context of brain tumor detection, this step aims to isolate the tumor region from the rest of the brain anatomy.

4. Tumor Detection:

- The segmented regions are further analyzed to identify and detect potential tumor regions.
- Techniques specific to tumor detection, such as thresholding or region growing, may be employed at this stage.

PROPOSED SYSTEM

The proposed method for brain tumor detection consists of three key diagnostic tasks: pre-processing, image segmentation, and feature extraction. In the final stage, the calculated features are used for classification. Notably, the VGG-16 architecture is employed in our proposed system to enhance the accuracy of brain tumor detection. This architecture, known for its deep convolutional neural network design, enables more effective learning of intricate patterns and features in medical images, ultimately leading to improved diagnostic precision.

A. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS



MRI Image without Tumor:



MRI Images with Tumor:

Desired Output using CNN Model:

Brain Turnor × +	- 0 3
C C IocalHeat 5000	discriminative models. CNN identifies features from images and trains itself to distinguish between a tumor infected scan and a healthy one
Use our Cl Upload your MRI file here while our	NN model
state-of-the-art machines predict the result for you Choose File Nsen Go	200+ Images of real-world Brain Tumor cases we have achieved an accuracy of over 99.5% using our advanced algorithms you can rest easy and avoid yourself a doctor's visit using
Tumor has been Detected!! X	our CNN model

CONCLUSION

This experiment was conducted to detect and segment tumors from MRI images. The process involved several steps:

1. Image Augmentation and Filtration: Initial enhancement of input MRI through image augmentation and filtration techniques.

2. Binary Thresholding: Application of binary thresholding to effectively segment the brain tumor.



Morphological Operations: Implementation of morphological operations to extract relevant features from the segmented image.

3. Classification Process: The processed MRI image is then input into the classification process, where it is categorized as either a normal or abnormal image.

The results of this method demonstrate the effectiveness of Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) in accurately detecting brain tumors. In comparison to existing methods such as Fuzzy C-Means (FCM), K-means, Naive Bayes, and Support Vector Machines (SVM), CNN exhibits superior accuracy and efficiency in tumor detection from MRI images.

REFERENCES

1. He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., & Sun, J. (2015b). Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 770-778. https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2016.90

2. He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., & Sun, J. (2016). Identity Mappings in Deep Residual Networks. In Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV), 630-645. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46493-0_38

3. Szegedy, C., Liu, W., Jia, Y., Sermanet, P., Reed, S., & Anguelov, D., et al. (2015). Going Deeper with Convolutions. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2015.7298594

4. Simonyan, K., & Zisserman, A. (2014). Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale Image Recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556.

5. Li, Y., Yang, J., Song, Y., Cai, W., & Wang, S., et al. (2017). Brain Tumor Grading Based on Neural Networks and Convolutional Neural Networks. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI), 849-852. https://doi.org/10.1109/ISBI.2017.7950653

6. Menze, B. H., Jakab, A., Bauer, S., Kalpathy-Cramer, J., & Farahani, K., et al. (2015). The Multimodal Brain Tumor Image Segmentation Benchmark (BRATS). IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, 34(10), 1993-2024. https://doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2014.2377694

7. Breiman, L. (2001). Random Forests. Machine Learning, 45(1), 5-32. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324

8. Bishop, C. M. (2006). Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning. Springer.

9. Cortes, C., & Vapnik, V. (1995). Support-Vector Networks. Machine Learning, 20(3), 273-297. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00994018

10. Altman, N. S. (1992). An Introduction to Kernel and Nearest-Neighbor Nonparametric Regression. The American Statistician, 46(3), 175-185. https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.1992.10475879